

# Watton Town Neighbourhood Plan

Site Assessment

Draft report May 2018 This page is intentionally blank.

## Quality information

Project Role	Name	Position	Actions Summary	Date
Researcher and report writer	Hamish Dean	Graduate Planner	Site visit, baseline, assessment and conclusions	11/05/18
	Vanessa Adams	Associate	Reviewed draft report	22/05/18
QA	Una McGaughrin	Associate	Reviewed draft report	24/05/18
Qualifying Body	Watton at Stone Parish Council	Watton at Stone Parish Council	To review	
Project Coordinator		Project Coordinator		

#### Prepared for:

Watton Town Council

#### Prepared by:

AECOM Aldgate Tower 2 Leman Street, London E1 8FA, UK aecom.com

AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited ("AECOM") has prepared this Report for the sole use of **Watton Town Council** ("Client") in accordance with the Agreement under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Report or any other services provided by AECOM.

Where the conclusions and recommendations contained in this Report are based upon information provided by others it is upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested and that such information is accurate. Information obtained by AECOM has not been independently verified by AECOM, unless otherwise stated in the Report.

The methodology adopted and the sources of information used by AECOM in providing its services are outlined in this Report. The work described in this Report was undertaken in the period April 2018 to May 2018 and is based on the conditions encountered and the information available during the said period of time. The scope of this Report and the services are accordingly factually limited by these circumstances.

Where assessments of works or costs identified in this Report are made, such assessments are based upon the information available at the time and where appropriate are subject to further investigations or information which may become available.

AECOM disclaim any undertaking or obligation to advise any person of any change in any matter affecting the Report, which may come or be brought to AECOM's attention after the date of the Report.

Certain statements made in the Report that are not historical facts may constitute estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements and even though they are based on reasonable assumptions as of the date of the Report, such forward-looking statements by their nature involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results predicted. AECOM specifically does not guarantee or warrant any estimate or projections contained in this Report.

Where field investigations are carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to meet the stated objectives of the services. The results of any measurements taken may vary spatially or with time and further confirmatory measurements should be made after any significant delay in issuing this Report.

#### Copyright

© This Report is the copyright of AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.

## **Table of Contents**

Execu	utive S	ummary6
1.	Introd	luction7
	1.1	Background7
	1.2	Planning Policy
	1.2.1	Emerging Breckland Local Plan 2011-2036, Pre-Submission Publication 20179
	1.2.2	Adopted Core Strategy and Development Control Policies Development Plan Document (DPD) (Adopted 2009)
	1.3	Emerging Local Plan Evidence Base Review
	1.3.1	Breckland Retail Study Update 2014 and 2017 Addendum
	1.3.2	Breckland Employment Growth Study 2013 and 2017 Update
	1.3.3	Open Space Assessment 2015 12
	1.3.4	Landscape Character Assessment (2007) 13
	1.4	Emerging Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base Review
	1.4.1	Watton Character Appraisal (March 2018)
2.	Site a	ssessment methodology14
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Task 1: Identify sites to be included in the assessment
	2.3	Task 2: Development of site appraisal pro-forma14
	2.4	Task 3: Site Assessment14
	2.5	Task 4: Review of findings
3.	Site A	ssessment
	3.1	Identified sites
	3.2	Site Appraisal
4.	Concl	lusions27
	4.1	Site assessment conclusions
	4.2	Next steps
	4.3	Viability
Appe	ndix A	Completed site appraisal pro-formas
Appe	ndix B	Land Ownership Information

#### Abbreviations used in the report

#### Abbreviation

CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy							
MHCLG	Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government							
DEFRA	Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs							
DPD	Development Plan Document							
Dph	Dwellings per hectare							
На	Hectare							
LGS	Local Green Space							
LPA	Local Planning Authority							
NP	Neighbourhood Plan							
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework							
PDL	Previously Developed Land							
PPG	Planning Policy Guidance							
SLAA	Strategic Land Availability Assessment							
ТРО	Tree Preservation Order							
WTC	Watton Town Council							

## **Executive Summary**

This report is an assessment of potential land for allocation or designation in the Watton Neighbourhood Plan on behalf of Watton Town Council (WTC) carried out by AECOM, as part of the Government's Neighbourhood Planning support programme. The work undertaken was agreed with the Parish Council in April 2018.

WTC is concerned with the level of housing development in Watton and the lack of supporting infrastructure provision. Therefore, WTC has identified several sites within the parish boundary to allocate a variety of uses to balance the town's rapid housing growth with appropriate social and employment infrastructure. In this context, WTC has asked AECOM to undertake an independent and objective assessment of the sites that have been identified for inclusion in the Neighbourhood Plan.

The purpose of the site appraisal is therefore to produce a clear assessment as to whether the identified sites are appropriate for allocation or designation for the proposed uses in the Plan, in particular whether they comply with both National Planning Policy Guidance and the strategic policies of the emerging District Plan and adopted Local Plan.

A 'traffic light' rating of all sites has been given based on whether the site is appropriate to be allocated or designated in the Neighbourhood Plan for the use proposed. The traffic light rating indicates 'green' for sites that show no constraints and are appropriate as site allocations, 'amber' for sites which are potentially suitable if issues can be resolved and 'red' for sites which are not currently suitable. The judgement on each site is based on the three 'tests' of whether a site is appropriate for allocation – i.e. the sites is suitable, available and achievable for the proposed use.

Fourteen sites were assessed to consider whether they would be appropriate for allocation for development or as local green spaces in the Watton Town Neighbourhood Plan. The findings of this draft assessment conclude that at present, no sites are considered appropriate for allocation in the neighbourhood plan immediately, this coming mainly as a result of WTC still having to talk to landowners. Eight sites could be appropriate but of these, four have already been identified as capable of delivering housing by Breckland Council, therefore WTC will need to discuss with Breckland Council whether an allocation of open space would be acceptable, as well as the landowner. Three sites are not considered appropriate due to significant constraints on the site; one is already protected by an existing designation; and two could be included in the Neighbourhood Plan as aspirations rather than allocations.

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

This report is an independent site appraisal for the Watton Neighbourhood Plan on behalf of Watton Town Council (WTC) carried out by AECOM. The work undertaken was agreed with the Parish Council in April 2018.

The Neighbourhood Plan, which will cover Watton parish in Breckland District Council (BDC), is being prepared in the context of the emerging District Plan and the adopted Local Plan, which includes the Core Strategy and Development Control Policies DPD<sup>1</sup> 2009. The emerging Breckland District Plan (2011-2036)<sup>2</sup> was submitted to he Secretary of State by BDC, and the examination hearings took place on 17 April 2018. BDC is currently consulting on its main modifications to the Local Plan (as at May 2018).

Neighbourhood Plans will form part of the development plan in Breckland, alongside, but not as a replacement for the adopted Local Plan and emerging District Plan. Neighbourhood plans are required to be in conformity with the adopted policy documents and can develop policies and proposals to address local place-based issues. In this way it is intended for the adopted Local Plan and emerging District Plan to provide a clear overall strategic direction for development in Breckland, whilst enabling finer detail to be determined through the neighbourhood planning process where appropriate.

The emerging District Plan is currently at examination and concludes that the housing need for Breckland at minimum is 15,928 between 2011 and 2036 which equates to 612 new homes per year. Policy HOU 02 specifies 1,335 total new homes in Watton through the plan period, with a target for 175 additional dwellings to 2036, with 205 already allocated within the Local Plan. It includes a footnote however that Neighbourhood Plans seek to exceed housing targets. Overall, this indicates a significant increase to the size of the town and associated population growth. It is important that the supporting infrastructure is put in place to ensure sustainable growth in Watton. As such, and WTC is particularly concerned to ensure that sufficient retail, employment and open space is also provided for, alongside housing, within its Neighbourhood Plan.

WTC is seeking to ensure that key aspects of its proposals will be robust, suitable and achievable. In this context, WTC has asked AECOM to undertake an independent and objective assessment of a number of sites that have been identified as possible locations for (non-housing) land uses that would provide infrastructure including green spaces to support the ongoing levels of housing development in the town.

The purpose of the site appraisal is therefore to produce a clear assessment as to whether the identified sites are appropriate for allocation or designation for the proposed uses in the Plan, in particular whether they comply with both National Planning Policy Guidance and the strategic policies of the emerging District Plan and adopted Local Plan. The site appraisal is intended to guide decision making and provide evidence for site allocations or designations<sup>3</sup> to help ensure that the Neighbourhood Plan can meet the Basic Conditions<sup>4</sup> considered by the Independent Examiner, as well as any potential legal challenges by developers and other interested parties.

Available at https://breckland.gov.uk/article/7298/Core-Strategy-and-Development-Control-Policies-Development-Plan-Document

Available at https://breckland.gov.uk/article/7304/Pre-submission-Publication

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'Allocations' refers to sites allocated for development or re-development to meet identified development needs; Designation refers to sites protected as a particular use e.g. open space or community use and therefore not available for development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2#basic-conditions-for-neighbourhood-plan-to-referendum</u>



## Figure 1 - Map of the Watton Town Neighbourhood area

## 1.2 Planning Policy

A number of sources have been reviewed in order to understand the history and the context for the Neighbourhood Plan site allocations. These comprise:

- Policy:
  - Emerging Breckland Local Plan 2011-2036, Pre-Submission Publication 2017<sup>5</sup>
  - Adopted Core Strategy and Development Control Policies 2001-2026 (2009)<sup>6</sup>
- Evidence Base<sup>7</sup>:
  - o Breckland Retail Study 2010, 2014 Update and 2017 Addendum
  - o Breckland Employment Growth Study 2013 and 2017 update
  - o Breckland District Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Addendum
  - o Breckland District Landscape Character Assessment May 2007
  - Breckland Open Space Assessment 2015
- Information provided verbally and in writing by Watton Town Council:
  - Watton Character Appraisal March 2018
- <u>Mapping:</u>
  - Google Earth Pro, Google Maps and Google Street View<sup>8</sup>
  - o DEFRA Magic Map.9

# 1.2.1 Emerging Breckland Local Plan 2011-2036, Pre-Submission Publication 2017

The emerging District Plan policies relevant to Watton and the site proposals are summarised below.

*Policy EC 03 General Employment Areas:* Sites identified will be protected for employment use, of which there are four in Watton. Proposals to accommodate new employment development will be permitted on General Employment Areas where the following criteria apply:

- It is not a town centre use, unless the location is sequentially preferable and need has been demonstrated;
- It will not undermine the function of the wider employment area;
- The scale, bulk and appearance of the development is compatible with the character of its surroundings;
- There is no significant detrimental health impacts on the amenity of neighbouring land uses and the character of the area by virtue of increased levels of noise, odour emissions, or dust and impact on light; and
- The traffic generated does not have a severe adverse impact on local amenity, highway safety or the operation of the highways network.

*Policy EC 04 Employment Development Outside General Employment Areas:* Proposals for employment uses outside of the identified General Employment Areas and allocated sites will be permitted where:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Available at https://www.breckland.gov.uk/article/7343/Evidence-Base-Submission-Documents-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Available at https://www.breckland.gov.uk/article/7298/Core-Strategy-and-Development-Control-Policies-Development-Plan-Document

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> All evidence base documents available at <u>https://www.breckland.gov.uk/article/7343/Evidence-Base-Submission-Documents-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> All available at <u>https://www.google.co.uk/maps</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Available at <u>http://www.magic.gov.uk</u>

- It is demonstrated that there are no other suitable sites available on identified or allocated employment sites; and/or
- There are particular reasons for the development not being located on an established or allocated employment site including:
  - The expansion of an existing business;
  - Businesses that are based on agriculture, forestry or other industry where there are sustainability advantages to being located in close proximity to the market they serve; or
  - Industries and / or businesses which would be detrimental to local amenity if located in settlements, including general employment areas.
- The development of the site would not adversely affect the type and volume of traffic generated.

*Policy EC 05 Town Centre and Retail Strategy*: Seeks to enhance local provision through focusing retail and leisure proposals within town centres, with retail uses focused within Primary Shopping Areas. Watton's town centre is identified as a Primary Shopping Area on the Policies Map 2017 with primary and secondary retail frontages along the town High Street. The development of additional retail floorspace outside of defined centres will be restricted where it fails the sequential and impact tests (except where it serves local need).

*Policy ENV 01 Green Infrastructure:* The network of green infrastructure in the District, including water bodies, should be safeguarded, retained and enhanced. Enhancement of the green infrastructure network will be sough through the promotion of positive action, and the development management process. Furthermore, new developments will be expected to use opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure and enhance existing connectivity. New development should respond to the location of existing green infrastructure and support appropriate uses and functions.

*Policy ENV 04 Open Space, Sport & Recreation:* This policy is broken down to 'Existing Provision' and 'New Provision' as follows:

Existing Provision:

Development that would result in the loss of existing designated open space will only be permitted if:

- it can be demonstrated (through a local assessment) that there is an excess of recreational or amenity open space in the settlement and the proposed loss will not result in a current or likely shortfall during the plan period; or
- recreational facilities within the open space will be enhanced by the proposed development on an appropriate portion of the open space; or
- the community would gain greater benefit from the developer providing a suitable alternative recreational or amenity open space in an equally accessible and convenient location
- The development of existing open space with an ecological value (a known biodiversity or nature conservation interest) will not be permitted;
- New Provision:
  - All new residential development is expected to provide a contribution towards outdoor playing space equivalent to 2.56ha per 1,000

*Policy ENV 05 Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape:* This policy outlines the importance of protecting the landscape of the District, the rural character and the interests of biodiversity, geodiversity and historic conservation. Development should have particular regard to maintaining the aesthetic and biodiversity qualities of landscape features.

*Policy GEN 1 Sustainable Development in Breckland*: Seeks to enable development that improves the economic, social and environmental objectives of Breckland through the application of various sustainable development objectives including following relevant to WTC's site proposals:

- Protect and enhance the natural, built and historic environment;
- Allocate and facilitate developable land that seeks to provide access to homes, employment, retail, leisure and other facilities;
- Assist in the creation and maintenance of inclusive, environmentally sustainable communities making the best and most efficient use of previously developed land, buildings and natural resources;
- Support Breckland's wider rural economy helping to sustain local services and assist in helping rural communities adapt and grow proportionately to enhance their social and economic sustainability;
- Direct jobs and growth towards the most sustainable locations contributing towards the economy and jobs in rural areas, helping to achieve the right balance throughout the District; and
- Co-ordinate development with transport provision ensuring good access to existing community facilities, services and open space, together with new facilities and services where necessary.

#### 1.2.2 Adopted Core Strategy and Development Control Policies Development Plan Document (DPD) (Adopted 2009)

The following policies of the adopted Core Strategy and Development Control Policies DPD are relevant to Watton Town Councils site proposals:

*Policy CP 3 Employment*. This policy highlights the provision made for development that will deliver 6,000 jobs in Breckland District to 2021, of which Watton has provision for 250 net additional jobs. The policy states that the spatial delivery of new employment in Breckland will require that development proposals contribute to the creation and retention of a wide range of jobs, educational and re-skilling opportunities, and the release of land for employment development to enable existing types of businesses to grow to develop at Watton, Shipdham Airfield and Roudham Industrial Areas.

*Policy CP 6 Green Infrastructure:* Seeks to protect and enhance green infrastructure of local and strategic importance. New development will be expected to contribute towards the provision of additional green infrastructure and the protection and enhancement of the District's existing green infrastructure.

*Policy CP 7 Town Centres:* The vitality of the town centre is the district will be maintained and enhanced in accordance with their identified role in the spatial strategy. Retail development will be addressed in the following ways:

- Permitting appropriate retail, leisure and business proposals;
- Focusing retail proposals within town centres to the Primary Shopping Areas as defined on the Proposals map and limiting proposals to under 2,500m<sup>2</sup> gross floorspace unless it can be demonstrated that there is a need and no negative impact on the vitality and viability of the town centre;
- Restricting retail development, except extensions under 200m<sup>2</sup> gross floorspace, outside the defined centres, unless it can be demonstrated that there is a need, there are no sequentially preferable sites; and
- Encouraging proposals which seek to deliver environmental improvements, enhanced car parking provision and reduce the impact of traffic/dominance of the car for town centre users.

*Policy CP 14 Sustainable Rural Communities:* Outlines employment in the countryside – the diversification of existing rural enterprises – and the development of new enterprises where a rural location is either environmentally or operationally justified will be supported. This is provided that there no significant detrimental environmental, landscape, conservation or highway impacts.

*Policy DC 6 General Employment Areas:* States that sites identified as General Employment Areas on the proposals map will be protected for employment use. Proposals to accommodate new

employment development will be permitted on General Employment Areas where it is not a town centre use, unless the location is sequentially preferable and need has been demonstrated; and it will not undermine the function of the wider employment area.

*Policy DC 13 Flood Risk:* Seeks to ensure that new development is located in areas at least risk of flooding. New development will be expected to minimise flood risk to people, property and places. New development in Environment Agency Flood Zones 2 and 3 will only be permitted subject to a successful application of the sequential test.

## 1.3 Emerging Local Plan Evidence Base Review

The section below summarises key relevant information from Breckland Council's evidence base for the emerging Local Plan.

#### 1.3.1 Breckland Retail Study Update 2014 and 2017 Addendum

Watton has a lower proportion of comparison goods shops, but a higher proportion of convenience goods retail, reflecting its day to day shopping and service role. Whilst the 2014 Update did not identify any scope for development in Watton, the 2017 Addendum (corrected) in support of the emerging District Plan has identified that there is scope for new development of retail floorspace across the district. For Watton, the 2017 Addendum identifies potential for:

- 787 sqm gross of additional convenience goods floorspace;
- 1,563 sqm gross of additional comparison goods floorspace; and
- 181 sqm gross of additional food and beverage floorspace.

#### 1.3.2 Breckland Employment Growth Study 2013 and 2017 Update

The emerging District Plan is supported by an Employment Growth Study (2013) and the Employment Growth Study Update (2017) which conclude that across the district in the plan period 2011-2036, at least 64 hectares of employment land will be allocated to allow for a range and choice of employment sites to meet economic need and demand. Policy EC 01 sets out the distribution of this employment allocation to Attleborough, Dereham, Swaffham, Thetford and Snetterton. Watton has not been given any additional allocation for employment and jobs in the emerging District Plan, however the adopted Core Strategy highlights delivery of 6,000 net additional jobs in the District to 2021, 250 of which will be in Watton. These employment allocations in the market towns of Breckland are generally contained within General Employment Areas as identified on the Policies Map 2017.<sup>10</sup>

Watton has four General Employment Areas, including Threxton Industrial Estate, Part of RAF Technical Site, Griston Road Industrial Estate and Norwich Road Industrial Estate comprising a total area of 30.1 hectares. Policy EC 03 protects these areas for employment over the plan period, as well as mixed-use development in the employment areas that incorporate significant employment elements. In addition, a reasonable amount of industrial space has been developed in Watton – reflecting its main economic focus in light industry and engineering. Watton is heavily reliant on local businesses to occupy these employment spaces. As the town is not as accessible as Thetford for example, there has been limited demand for national or regional firms taking up the local industrial spaces.

#### 1.3.3 Open Space Assessment 2015

The Breckland Open Space Assessment (2015) supports policies set out in the emerging District Plan regarding open space and green infrastructure provision. The assessment has found that 70% of the parishes in District do not meet the identified benchmarking standard of 2.56ha per 1,000 population. The five market towns of Attleborough, Thetford, Dereham, Swaffham and Watton have the largest deficiencies in playing space compared to the Fields in Trust (FIT) standards. Furthermore, these towns have the highest proportion of children in addition to the highest deficiency of provision in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Available at https://www.breckland.gov.uk/article/7343/Evidence-Base-Submission-Documents-

children's play. The Open Space Assessment identifies an approximate need of 393ha total play space by 2037. Watton currently has a 3.84ha deficit in high quality public open space with significant housing additions, creating a context where there is a need for substantial open space additions. Policy ENV 04 states that new residential development is expected to provide a contribution towards outdoor playing space equivalent to 2.56ha per 1,000 population.

To balance Watton's local deficit of open space, the Neighbourhood Plan can designate open spaces for protection not already designated in the Local Plan. This can be done through a Local Green Space (LGS) designation to provide or protect green areas such as pocket parks, allotments, community gardens or areas of recreational value. Paragraph 76 to 78 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out national policy on Local Green Space, stating that local communities though local and neighbourhood plans should be able to identify for special protection green area of particular importance to them. However, LGS designations are not appropriate for most open spaces so the designation should only be used where:

- The green space is in reasonable proximity to the community it serves;
- The green area is demonstrable special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and
- the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

#### 1.3.4 Landscape Character Assessment (2007)

The Landscape Character Assessment sets out the key characteristics and visual sensitivities of the landscape in Breckland District. Watton is covered by three character areas: WA1 Watton Brook Tributary Farmland; WA2 Watton Airfield Plateau; and WA3 Watton West/Threxton; which need to be taken into consideration when looking at sites for development.

## 1.4 Emerging Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base Review

#### 1.4.1 Watton Character Appraisal (March 2018)

The Watton Character Appraisal is intended to form part of the evidence base for the emerging Watton Neighbourhood Plan which covers the period 2018 to 2038. The Character Appraisal was informed by a survey undertaken in March 2018 by local residents, as well as other sources of information such as Parish residents' own recollections and photographs, data from Norfolk Heritage Explorer, Norfolk Historic Environment Record and information from Natural England and Historic England.

The document describes the distinct appearance and feels of Watton and its surrounding area, and identifies key physical features and characteristics. The Character Appraisal reiterates some of the points highlighted in the Landscape Character Assessment (2007), most notable the three characters areas (WA1, WA2, WA3) and their relative landscape area sensitivity in Watton. The main findings of the Appraisal include the identification of informal green spaces in Watton that through their undeveloped and open nature, contribute to the character of the area. Therefore, these are recommended to be included as Local Green Spaces in the NP to ensure their protection. Moreover, the Appraisal recommends a design policy to include reference to locally prevalent materials of red brick, red and black pantiles with flint details. Additionally, a recommended policy related to non-designated heritage assets to be included in the NP. The Appraisal also seeks to include site specific policies in the NP that identify specific areas in need of redevelopment or enhancement, as well as a policy designed to prevent further loss of existing RAF buildings to be included in the NP, promoting their re-use rather than redevelopment.

# 2. Site assessment methodology

## 2.1 Introduction

Selecting and allocating sites for development is one of the most contentious aspects of planning, raising strong concerns amongst local people, landowners, developers and businesses. It is important that any selection process carried out is transparent, fair, robust and defensible and that the same criteria and thought process is applied to each potential site. Equally important is the way in which the work is recorded and communicated to interested parties so the approach is transparent and defensible.

The approach undertaken within this site appraisal is based on the Government's National Planning Practice Guidance (Assessment of Land Availability) published in 2014 with ongoing updates. and the Site Assessment for Neighbourhood Plans: A Toolkit for Neighbourhood Planners (Locality, 2015) This includes an assessment of whether a site is **suitable**, **available** and **viable**.

The methodology for carrying out the site appraisal is presented below.

## 2.2 Task 1: Identify sites to be included in the assessment

The first task is to identify which sites should be considered as part of the assessment and for which land uses This included all sites identified by WTC in response to the need for sites to address local pressures on the town.

All sites included in the assessment are shown on **Figure 2** - Sites Identified by Watton Town Council overleaf.

## 2.3 Task 2: Development of site appraisal pro-forma

A site appraisal pro-forma has been developed by AECOM to assess potential sites for allocation in the Neighbourhood Plan. The purpose of the pro-forma is to enable a consistent evaluation of each site against an objective set of criteria.

The pro-forma utilised for the assessment enabled a range of information to be recorded, including the following:

- General information:
  - Site location and use;
  - Site context and planning history;
- Context:
  - Type of site (greenfield, brownfield, mixed);
  - Planning history.
- Suitability:
  - Site characteristics;
  - Environmental considerations;
  - Heritage considerations;
  - Community facilities and services;
  - Other key considerations (e.g. flood risk, agricultural land, tree preservation orders); and
- Availability.

## 2.4 Task 3: Site Assessment

Where sites had not already been assessed for the proposed land use through a planning application or a previous assessment (e.g Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, Employment Land Review or Open Space Study) proformas were completed through a combination of desk top assessment and site visits. The desk top assessment involved a review of the existing evidence and using other sources including Google Maps/ Streetview, Google Earth Pro and MAGIC maps in order to judge whether a site is suitable for the use proposed. The site visits allowed the team to consider

aspects of the site assessment that could only be done visually. It was also an opportunity to gain a better understanding of the context and nature of the area.

## 2.5 Task 4: Review of findings

Following the site assessment, the results were reviewed to understand the options open to WTC and to establish the best way forward in terms of which sites are most suitable to meet the development requirement or neighbourhood plan objective.

A 'traffic light' rating of all sites has been given based on whether the site is appropriate to be allocated or designated in the Neighbourhood Plan for the use proposed. The traffic light rating indicates 'green' for sites that show no constraints and are appropriate as site allocations, 'amber' for sites which are potentially suitable if issues can be resolved and 'red' for sites which are not currently suitable. The judgement on each site is based on the three 'tests' of whether a site is appropriate for allocation – i.e. the sites is **suitable, available and achievable** for the proposed use.

# 3. Site Assessment

## 3.1 Identified sites

The assessed sites in Watton are listed in **Table 1** below and illustrated in **Figure 2** - Sites Identified by Watton Town Council overleaf. This assessment constitutes the initial appraisal of the sites that WTC have identified. WTC do not intend to allocate any sites for housing – all proposals are for non-housing use. However it is important to take into account land that has been identified as potentially suitable by Breckland Council for future development, and as such, Local Plan evidence base studies such as the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) have been reviewed as part of this exercise to identify competing uses for the same land. WTC initially identified 15 sites but after a second review on the site visit this was reduced to 14 sites.

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site	Location/Address	WTC proposals	Site area (ha)
Site 1	-	Land east on Threxton Road Business Park, south of Brandon Road	Business park extension	6.04
Site 2	-	Land south of Bridle Road	Retail park	9.87
Site 3	W18 (partial)	Land east of Watton Westfield Infant and Nursery School, south of Heron Way	Open space	5.3
Site 4	ST08 (partial)	Land between Saham Road and Dereham Road	Country Park	16.55
Site 5	W06 and W16	Land north of Church Road	Country Park	24.78
Site 6	W25	Land south of Church Walk	Open Space	1.43
Site 7	W19	Land east of St. Mary's Church	Park Woodland	4.32
Site 8	W15	Land between Therford Road and Wayland Academy	6 <sup>th</sup> Form college	1.9
Site 9	W14	Land north of Wayland Wood	Wood extension/cemetery	19.83
Site 10	W20	Land east of Wayland Wood	Woodland extension	15.74
Site 11	W29	Land between Portal Avenue and Canberra Road	Open space	11.4
Site 12	-	Land north of New Green Business Park	Business park extension	12.31
Site 13	-	Watton Youth and Community Centre	Community centre refurbishment/housing	0.36
Site 14	-	Watton Sports Centre	Land protection and community centre	1.52

#### Table 1 - Neighbourhood Plan sites proposed for inclusion in the Watton Neighbourhood Plan

#### Figure 2 - Sites Identified by Watton Town Council



## 3.2 Site Appraisal

The results of the assessment of all identified sites is set out in **Table 2** – Site Assessment Summary Table. The final column includes a 'traffic light' rating for each site, indicating whether the site is appropriate for allocation, where:

- **Red** indicates the site is not appropriate for allocation (for development) or designation (for local green space) through the NP.
- Green indicates the site is appropriate for allocation or designation through the NP.
- Amber indicates the site is less suitable, or may be appropriate for allocation or designation through the NP if certain issues can be resolved or constraints mitigated.

Table 2 should be read alongside the completed pro-formas presented in **Appendix A**.

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
Site 1	-	East of Threxton Road Business Park	6.04	Greenfield	Business Park extension	N/A	The site is unsuitable for a business park extension as it is a greenfield site with significant constraints. It is adjacent to an existing business park designated as a General Employment Area but would require a new access off Threxton Road. While the actual location of the site, adjacent to Threxton Business Park is suitable and could form an extension to the industrial estate, the site's existing access is not adequate and would require substantial work and improvements. The site's boundary with Threxton Business Park has a significant ditch which complicates the provision of improved access and would therefore require Cranswick Country Foods to open up their private property for public access to access the site from the north.
							The site boundary is reasonably well screened from the existing area and contained by vegetation. However, it has significant environmental constraints. It is situated in Flood Zone 3 and therefore is at high risk of flooding and not suitable for development. The site is also in the Stone Curlew Primary Buffer Zone and is unmanaged scrubland with potential ecological value.
							It should also be noted that business parks cannot be allocated through a neighbourhood plan.
Site 2	-	Land south of Bridle Road	9.87	Greenfield	Retail Park	N/A	This site is unsuitable for the proposed development as a retail park for several reasons. Firstly, the site is dependent on Site 1 coming forward as a business park as the existing access is not adequate to support development as a retail park. The existing access consists of small roads through a residential area that is quiet in character; increased traffic via these routes would have significant impact and the retail park would not be visible from the main road through Watton. In its

#### Table 2 – Site Assessment Summary Table

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
							current state there is no feasible way of providing suitable access to the site for such a use as the road cannot be easily widened. The access would have to be an extension of Site 1 from the west.
							The site is also adjacent to residential dwellings to the north, and whilst the site is reasonably well screened from these dwellings, it would still cause a detrimental impact to amenity in terms of acoustic impacts. To the east of the site there are residential dwellings with no screening and this would have significant visual impact.
Site 3	W18	Land south of Heron Way	5.3	Greenfield	Open Space	Non-deliverable (for housing) as the Breckland SPA renders the site undeliverable, and would require a revised site area to be put forward.	This site is considered suitable for designation as local green space. The northern parts of the site are already designated as open space, and WTC can seek to designate the whole site as open space in their plan.
							Whilst the site has various significant constraints, such as being located within the SPA Stone Curlew 1500m primary buffer and having minimal screening to the residential areas north and south of the site, the allocation as open space would protect the visual amenity of surrounding dwellings and the ecological integrity of the site. The site meets the Local Green Space criteria as it is not extensive in size, is easily accessible from the town and is special in nature with the vegetation and attenuation pond on site.
							The sites existing access is adequate for use as open space with good pedestrian access from the north and east of the site.
							The site has been assessed as amber because part of the site has been identified in the SHLAA and therefore Breckland County Council would need to be approached to clarify if an open space designation would be supported.
Site 4	-	Land between	18.9	Mixture (part electric sub-	Country Park	A small part on the east of the site is identified on the SHLAA (ST08)	This site is considered suitable for designation as Local Green Space; however the size of the site

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
		Saham Road and Dereham Road		station on west boundary)		and is concluded to be non- deliverable (for housing).	boundary would have to be revised so that it is in accordance with the open space criteria subject to availability of the land. The western part of the site would meet the criteria, particularly as the area surrounding Loch Neaton has special local character. The eastern parts of the site are expansive stretches of land and should be removed from the site boundary should the group want to designate the site.
							It should be noted that a country park designation is not appropriate for a neighbourhood plan, however the group can highlight this as an aspiration for the site going forward and should seek to discuss this potential designation with Breckland Council for inclusion in the Local Plan. It is also recommended that WTC should consider whether there are proposals they would like to add to their aspirations for this site – e.g. adding a boardwalk or information boards around the wetland/loch – as it is possible to use planning obligations from development in Watton to pay for these kinds of improvements, once the Neighbourhood Plan is "made".
							The site has good pedestrian access from Saham Road and through the Watton Sport Centre via Loch Neaton.
							The site is linked well to sports infrastructure with Watton Sports Centre to the south and golf course to the north. Additionally, the site is well connected to existing designated green/public space (Loch Neaton) on the Policies map and would serve as an appropriate extension to this.
							The site would link up to development and the built up area to the south and provide a space of social and community value to residents in these dwellings. Views from the site are open and would serve the proposed country park well.
							Parts of the site are already designated open

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
							space, the NP group could allocate the whole site as open space in their plan as an extension of this.
							The site is a wet area and is not suitable for other forms of development.
							It is considered of the two sites proposed for a country park that this site is most appropriate for the reasons aforementioned.
Site 5	W06 and W16	Land north of Church Road	24.78	Greenfield	Country Park	W06 – deliverable W16 – non-deliverable on the basis that impact on the landscape is considered significant as the site is detached from the main built up area. (for housing)	As above the designation as a country park is not appropriate for a neighbourhood plan however WTC can include this as aspiration in their plan or seek to designate the site as Local Green Space. It is recommended that WTC approach Breckland Council and discuss their aspiration to see if its use as open space would be supported as the site has already been identified in the SHLAA for housing.
							The access to the site is appropriate for use as a country park, accessible via Church Road for vehicles with good pedestrian access from Church Walk although this would require a new access point to be put in place. The site is sensitive to visual impact with open and expansive views as was evident on the visit to site; however development as a country park would preserve and protect this rather than have detrimental impact.
							The site lends itself well to being used as open space as it connects well to neighbouring residential areas and with St. Mary's Church and the designated open space within the Church grounds.
							The site is however working agricultural land, although has not been given an agricultural land classification.
Site 6	W25	Land between Linden	1.43	Greenfield	Open space	Non-deliverable (for housing). Access to the site for housing is not possible as it would require	The site could be designated as local green space in the Neighbourhood Plan, if it meets the relevant criteria. The site is within reasonable proximity of

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
		Court and Church Road				demolition of houses to the south, and access from the north via Church Walk is undesirable for the amount of houses proposed.	the town, is not an expansive piece of land and the location of the site adjacent to Church Walk and Linden Court means it could provide significant community value and is special in local context and character. As with other open space designations, WTC should approach Breckland Council to gauge whether this designation will be supported.
							At present as the availability of the site is unknown. The site lies between Linden Court Residential Care Home and houses on each boundary. Therefore the site has the potential to provide a communal open space that could have significant social and community value where there is currently no use on site and separation between dwellings. Furthermore, the site is undesignated and could therefore be allocated open space and contribute towards reducing Watton's open space deficit.
							Although there is no current access on to the site, a pedestrian access point could be provided off Church Walk, which would be adequate for the size of open space. There is a power line running through the centre of the site, however this is not large and could be relocated, subject to discussions with the power network operator.
Site 7	W19	Land east of St. Mary's Church	4.32	Greenfield	Park woodland	Deliverable (for housing). It is not anticipated that on the basis of the scale of development possible on site that the utilities constraints are likely to bring into question the achievability of the site. The impact upon the landscape is an issue, but as the site adjoins the	It is assumed that the landowner's intentions are to develop this site for residential use as a planning application was submitted (and refused) in 2017. The application was refused on the basis that development of the site would have a negative impact on important rural features of the location. Additionally, it would be harmful to the setting of a Grade 2 Heritage Asset.
						built-up area of Watton it is considered that appropriate design measures could mitigate against this effect.	This site may be suitable for woodland however there are a few considerations to be noted. Firstly, a substantial boundary would need to be retained between the settlement to the south and the woodland. Secondly, an ecological survey would

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
							need to be undertaken to ensure that changing the ecology does not have an adverse effect on existing ecology.	
							The site meets the criteria for open space and is therefore considered appropriate in that context. However, given that the landowner's intentions seem clear, and that the site was identified for housing in the SHLAA, WTC will have to approach both the landowner and Breckland Council to establish whether a designation for open space would be acceptable.	
Site 8	W15	Land between Thetford Road and Wayland Academy	1.9	Greenfield	Sixth Form College	Deliverable. Much of the allocation has already been delivered, only the land to the north of the identified site is available. There are not considered to be any severe constraints on the site.	The site could be allocated for a sixth form college in the Neighbourhood Plan, if evidence of need for education provision in the town was provided by the Local Education Authority (Norfolk County Council) and if the site is available. W15 has planning permission for housing other than the northern part of the NP site which does not have permission and is the only part of W15 remaining undeveloped. Therefore, it is advisable that WTC approach the landowner to establish whether they are willing to release the site for a use other than housing, as well as Breckland Council as the site was accepted for housing in the SHLAA. In terms of suitability, there are several Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) on the site boundary and a powerline in the northeastern corner of the site; these can be avoided with appropriate design of the college. Additionally, the site is adjacent to new development with little screening or buffer to the new houses, these therefore need to be given due consideration to avoid detrimental impact on their amenity. Access to the site could be provided with a new access road off the A1075. The site is also located adjacent to existing education infrastructure and could therefore complement the existing schools. This proposal	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
							should be discussed with Breckland and Norfolk County Councils to establish demand for education provision in the town.	
Site 9	W14	Land north of Wayland Wood	19.83	Greenfield	Woodland extension/cem etery	Deliverable – the main constraints to development on the site are highway access and the proximity to the adjacent SSSI. In terms of highway access there is not currently evidence that local networks would undermine the suitability or achievability of the site. In terms of landscape issues, it is considered appropriate design could mitigate any impacts.	This site is considered suitable for the proposed use as woodland extension or a cemetery park subject to land availability. This site is rated as amber at present as it would need to be discussed with Breckland Council (as well as the landowner) to understand if this approach would be accepted, given the site's acceptance for housing in the SHLAA. Access to the site is suitable for either proposed use, although a cemetery would require refurbishing the existing entrance to support vehicle entry. The site is likely to have high ecological value; however the proposed allocations for the site are unlikely to have a detrimental impact on this. A woodland extension would require an ecological survey to ensure that the change in make up on the site does not affect existing ecology. The topography of the site is flat and deemed suitable for either proposed use. Views in and out of the site are open, however overshadowing of neighbouring settlements can easily be mitigated by ensuring there is a buffer around the site boundary.	
Site 10	W20	Land north east of Wayland Wood	15.74	Greenfield	Woodland extension	Deliverable. No significant constraints on the site.	As above.	
Site 11	W29	Land between Portal Avenue and Canberra	11.4	Mixture	Open space	Deliverable – the site is already part of an adopted policy and as such is allocate for residential development. There are no fundamental constraints to the identification of this land for	The site is already part of a site specific policy (W2) saved residential allocation and open space provision. The policy states it will deliver 72 dwellings, while 5 ha will be allocated for open space. The site therefore does not need to be designated in the Neighbourhood Plan, but WTC	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
		Road				residential and open space.	can include the open space allocation as an aspirational allocation for more than 5ha open space on the site. It is suggested that the red line boundary for this site should be revised to exclude the area already developed.
Site 12	-	Land north of New Green Business Park	12.31	Greenfield	Business park extension	N/a	The site is not considered suitable for allocation as a business park extension. The site has high landscape sensitivity as identified in the Breckland Landscape Assessment (2007). The site is considered to have high ecological value due to the thick hedgerows and larges trees on the southern boundary. There are several trees on site which need to be given consideration (TBC). The eastern part of the site is currently being used as a solar farm under private ownership and therefore the proposal will likely meet objection from the landowner. There is little screening to the north of the site from houses which are elevated and would suffer visual impact from the development. The access to the site is inadequate as existing and would require extensions through the business
							park, however this is not considered a significant constraint.
Site 13	-	Watton Youth and Communit y Centre	0.36	Mixture	Refurbished community centre/new housing	N/A	WTC own the land and can refurbish the community centre on the existing footprint. WTC can include this as an aspiration in the plan as part of a list of projects to be paid for through planning obligations from other developments and does not need to be allocated as such. However, it is not considered suitable for housing as the site is designated open space on the Policies Map 2017. Additionally, there are dwellings on the eastern and western boundary to the site with little screening that currently overlook open green space. Housing development here would have significant visual impact on their residential amenity.

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Location	Site area (ha)	Site type	Proposed development	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
Site 14	-	Watton Sports Centre	1.52	Mixture	Protection of memorial playing fields/infill community centre adjacent to existing sports centre	N/a	This site is already designated open space on the Policies Map 2017 and therefore is protected from development. WTC do not need to allocate this in their neighbourhood plan. With regard to the development of a community centre on the site of the sports centre, WTC are the owners of the land and therefore the land can be assumed available. The proposed infill site is highlighted on the appended proforma for reference This site itself is suitable for a community centre as access is good, there are no residential properties that would be impacted and the landscape is not sensitive to development. This could be included, with a revised red line boundary for the site of the proposed community centre, as an aspiration in the NP and given more in depth consideration.

# 4. Conclusions

## 4.1 Site assessment conclusions

Fourteen sites were assessed to consider whether they would be appropriate for allocation for development or as local green spaces in the Watton Town Neighbourhood Plan. These included sites identified by the neighbourhood planning group, whereby the sites presented by the group were assessed in isolation instead of in conjunction with the SHLAA. The SHLAA has still been considered and referred to in the assessment, however this was not a determining factor in the selection of sites or the assessment outcome.

The site assessment needs to be understood in the context of Watton, and in particular what the NP group have intended for their Plan. Watton has received a significant amount of new housing, with several sites with planning permission as highlighted on the Policies Map 2017, yet is 3.84ha in deficit of public open space, whilst having one of the highest proportions of children in the District yet the least amount of FIT standard play space. WTC's designations of open/green space could potentially seek to address this deficit of open space, although it must be kept in mind that the country park designations cannot be allocated through a neighbourhood plan and their red line boundaries would need to be reduced in order to comply with open space criteria if WTC wish to allocate them as local green space instead.

WTC has also highlighted the apparent need for more employment and retail floorspace to meet the needs of the growing population; the latter is supported to some degree by evidence provided in Breckland Council's 2017 Addendum to the Retail and Town Centre Study.

Taken together, these factors have created a specific context and set of circumstances where WTC is focusing on seeking provision of supporting infrastructure for the new residential development to ensure a sustainable future for the town.

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
Site 1	-	East of Threx ton Road Busin ess Park	6.04	Greenfi eld	Busines s Park extensio n	N/A	The site is unsuitable for a business park extension as it is a greenfield site with significant constraints. It is adjacent to an existing business park designated as a General Employment Area but would require a new access off Threxton Road. While the actual location of the site, adjacent to Threxton Business Park is suitable and could form an extension to the industrial estate, the site's existing access is not adequate and would require substantial work and improvements. The site's boundary with Threxton Business Park has a significant ditch which complicates the provision of improved access and would therefore require Cranswick Country Foods to open up their private property for public access to access the site from the north.	

Overall, our initial conclusions from Table 2 - Site Assessment Summary Table

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
							The site boundary is reasonably well screened from the existing area and contained by vegetation. However, it has significant environmental constraints. It is situated in Flood Zone 3 and therefore is at high risk of flooding and not suitable for development. The site is also in the Stone Curlew Primary Buffer Zone and is unmanaged scrubland with potential ecological value.	
							It should also be noted that business parks cannot be allocated through a neighbourhood plan.	
Site 2	-	Land south of Bridle Road	9.87	Greenfi eld	Retail Park	N/A	This site is unsuitable for the proposed development as a retail park for several reasons. Firstly, the site is dependent on Site 1 coming forward as a business park as the existing access is not adequate to support development as a retail park. The existing access consists of small roads through a residential area that is quiet in character; increased traffic via these routes would have significant impact and the retail park would not be visible from the main road through Watton. In its current state there is no feasible way of providing suitable access to the site for such a use as the road cannot be easily widened. The access would have to be an extension of Site 1 from the west.	
							The site is also adjacent to residential dwellings to the north, and whilst the site is reasonably well screened from these dwellings, it would still cause a detrimental impact to amenity in terms of acoustic impacts. To the east of the site there are residential dwellings with no screening and this would have significant visual impact.	
Site 3	W18	Land south of Heron Way	5.3	Greenfi eld	Open Space	Non-deliverable (for housing) as the Breckland SPA renders the site undeliverable, and would require a	This site is considered suitable for designation as local green space. The northern parts of the site are already designated as open space, and WTC can seek to designate the whole site as	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
						revised site area to be put forward.	open space in their plan. Whilst the site has various significant constraints, such as being located within the SPA Stone Curlew 1500m primary buffer and having minimal screening to the residential areas north and south of the site, the allocation as open space would protect the visual amenity of surrounding dwellings and the ecological integrity of the site. The site meets the Local Green Space criteria as it is not extensive in size, is easily accessible from the town and is special in nature with the vegetation and attenuation pond on site. The sites existing access is adequate for use as open space with good pedestrian access from the north and east of the site. The site has been assessed as amber because part of the site has been identified in the SHLAA and therefore Breckland County Council would need to be approached to clarify if an open space designation would be	
Site 4	-	Land betwe en Saha m Road and Dereh am Road	18.9	Mixture (part electric sub- station on west bound ary)	Country Park	A small part on the east of the site is identified on the SHLAA (ST08) and is concluded to be non-deliverable (for housing).	supported. This site is considered suitable for designation as Local Green Space; however the size of the site boundary would have to be revised so that it is in accordance with the open space criteria subject to availability of the land. The western part of the site would meet the criteria, particularly as the area surrounding Loch Neaton has special local character. The eastern parts of the site are expansive stretches of land and should be removed from the site boundary should the group want to designate the site. It should be noted that a country park designation is not appropriate for a neighbourhood plan, however the group can highlight this as an aspiration for the site going forward and should seek to discuss this potential designation with Breckland	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
							Council for inclusion in the Local Plan. It is also recommended that WTC should consider whether there are proposals they would like to add to their aspirations for this site – e.g. adding a boardwalk or information boards around the wetland/loch – as it is possible to use planning obligations from development in Watton to pay for these kinds of improvements, once the Neighbourhood Plan is "made".	
							The site has good pedestrian access from Saham Road and through the Watton Sport Centre via Loch Neaton.	
							The site is linked well to sports infrastructure with Watton Sports Centre to the south and golf course to the north. Additionally, the site is well connected to existing designated green/public space (Loch Neaton) on the Policies map and would serve as an appropriate extension to this.	
							The site would link up to development and the built up area to the south and provide a space of social and community value to residents in these dwellings. Views from the site are open and would serve the proposed country park well.	
							Parts of the site are already designated open space, the NP group could allocate the whole site as open space in their plan as an extension of this.	
							The site is a wet area and is not suitable for other forms of development.	
							It is considered of the two sites proposed for a country park that this site is most appropriate for the reasons aforementioned.	
Site 5	W06 and W16	Land north of Churc h Road	24.78	Greenfi eld	Country Park	W06 – deliverable W16 – non- deliverable on the basis that impact on the landscape is	As above the designation as a country park is not appropriate for a neighbourhood plan however WTC can include this as aspiration in their plan or	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
						considered significant as the site is detached from the main built up area. (for housing)	seek to designate the site as Local Green Space. It is recommended that WTC approach Breckland Council and discuss their aspiration to see if its use as open space would be supported as the site has already been identified in the SHLAA for housing.	
							The access to the site is appropriate for use as a country park, accessible via Church Road for vehicles with good pedestrian access from Church Walk although this would require a new access point to be put in place. The site is sensitive to visual impact with open and expansive views as was evident on the visit to site; however development as a country park would preserve and protect this rather than have detrimental impact.	
							The site lends itself well to being used as open space as it connects well to neighbouring residential areas and with St. Mary's Church and the designated open space within the Church grounds.	
							The site is however working agricultural land, although has not been given an agricultural land classification.	
Site 6	W25	Land betwe en Linde n Court and Churc h Road	1.43	Greenfi eld	Open space	Non-deliverable (for housing). Access to the site for housing is not possible as it would require demolition of houses to the south, and access from the north via Church Walk is undesirable for the amount of houses proposed.	The site could be designated as local green space in the Neighbourhood Plan, if it meets the relevant criteria. The site is within reasonable proximity of the town, is not an expansive piece of land and the location of the site adjacent to Church Walk and Linden Court means it could provide significant community value and is special in local context and character. As with other open space designations, WTC should approach Breckland Council to gauge whether this designation will be supported. At present as the availability of the site is unknown. The site lies between Linden Court Residential Care Home and houses on each	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment
							boundary. Therefore the site has the potential to provide a communal open space that could have significant social and community value where there is currently no use on site and separation between dwellings. Furthermore, the site is undesignated and could therefore be allocated open space and contribute towards reducing Watton's open space deficit. Although there is no current access on to the site, a pedestrian access point could be provided off Church Walk,
							which would be adequate for the size of open space. There is a power line running through the centre of the site, however this is not large and could be relocated, subject to discussions with the power network operator.
Site 7	W19	Land east of St. Mary' s Churc h	4.32	Greenfi eld	Park woodlan d	Deliverable (for housing). It is not anticipated that on the basis of the scale of development possible on site that the utilities constraints are likely to bring into question the achievability of the site. The impact upon the landscape is an issue, but as the site adjoins the built-up area of Watton it is considered that appropriate design measures could mitigate against this effect.	It is assumed that the landowner's intentions are to develop this site for residential use as a planning application was submitted (and refused) in 2017. The application was refused on the basis that development of the site would have a negative impact on important rural features of the location. Additionally, it would be harmful to the setting of a Grade 2 Heritage Asset. This site may be suitable for woodland however there are a few considerations to be noted. Firstly, a substantial boundary would need to be retained between the settlement to the south and the woodland. Secondly, an ecological survey would need to be undertaken to ensure that changing the ecology does not have an adverse effect on existing ecology. The site meets the criteria for open space and is therefore considered appropriate in that context. However, given that the landowner's intentions seem clear, and that the site was identified for housing in the SHLAA, WTC will have to approach both the landowner and Breckland Council to

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
							establish whether a designation for open space would be acceptable.	
Site 8	W15	Land betwe en Thetf ord Road and Wayla nd Acad emy	1.9	Greenfi eld	Sixth Form College	Deliverable. Much of the allocation has already been delivered, only the land to the north of the identified site is available. There are not considered to be any severe constraints on the site.	The site could be allocated for a sixth form college in the Neighbourhood Plan, if evidence of need for education provision in the town was provided by the Local Education Authority (Norfolk County Council) and if the site is available. W15 has planning permission for housing other than the northern part of the NP site which does not have permission and is the only part of W15 remaining undeveloped. Therefore, it is advisable that WTC approach the landowner to establish whether they are willing to release the site for a use other than housing, as well as Breckland Council as the site was accepted for housing in the SHLAA. In terms of suitability, there are several Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) on the site boundary and a powerline in the northeastern corner of the site; these can be avoided with appropriate design of the college. Additionally, the site is adjacent to new development with little screening or buffer to the new houses, these therefore need to be given due consideration to avoid detrimental impact on their amenity. Access to the site could be provided with a new accesss road off the A1075. The site is also located adjacent to existing education infrastructure and could therefore complement the existing schools. This proposal should be discussed with Breckland and Norfolk County Councils to establish demand for education provision in the town.	
Site 9	W14	Land north of Wayla nd Wood	19.83	Greenfi eld	Woodla nd extensio n/cemet ery	Deliverable – the main constraints to development on the site are highway access and the proximity to the adjacent SSSI.	This site is considered suitable for the proposed use as woodland extension or a cemetery park subject to land availability. This site is rated as amber at present as it would need to be discussed	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
						In terms of highway access there is not currently evidence that local networks would undermine the suitability or achievability of the site. In terms of landscape issues, it is considered appropriate design could mitigate any impacts.	with Breckland Council (as well as the landowner) to understand if this approach would be accepted, given the site's acceptance for housing in the SHLAA. Access to the site is suitable for either proposed use, although a cemetery would require refurbishing the existing entrance to support vehicle entry. The site is likely to have high ecological value; however the proposed allocations for the site are unlikely to have a detrimental impact on this. A woodland extension would require an ecological survey to ensure that the change in make up on the site does not affect existing ecology. The topography of the site is flat and deemed suitable for either proposed use. Views in and out of the site are open, however overshadowing of neighbouring settlements can easily be mitigated by ensuring there is a buffer around the site boundary.	
Site 10	W20	Land north east of Wayla nd Wood	15.74	Greenfi eld	Woodla nd extensio n	Deliverable. No significant constraints on the site.	As above.	
Site 11	W29	Land betwe en Portal Aven ue and Canb erra Road	11.4	Mixture	Open space	Deliverable – the site is already part of an adopted policy and as such is allocate for residential development. There are no fundamental constraints to the identification of this land for residential and open space.	The site is already part of a site specific policy (W2) saved residential allocation and open space provision. The policy states it will deliver 72 dwellings, while 5 ha will be allocated for open space. The site therefore does not need to be designated in the Neighbourhood Plan, but WTC can include the open space allocation as an aspirational allocation for more than 5ha open space on the site. It is suggested that the red line boundary for this site should be revised to exclude the area already developed.	
Site 12	-	Land north of New Gree	12.31	Greenfi eld	Busines s park extensio n	N/a	The site is not considered suitable for allocation as a business park extension. The site has high landscape sensitivity as identified in the	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
		n Busin ess Park					Breckland Landscape Assessment (2007). The site is considered to have high ecological value due to the thick hedgerows and larges trees on the southern boundary. There are several trees on site which need to be given consideration (TBC). The eastern part of the site is currently being used as a solar farm under private ownership and therefore the proposal will likely meet objection from the landowner. There is little screening to the north of the site from houses which are elevated and would suffer visual impact from the development. The access to the site is inadequate as existing and would require extensions through the business park, however this is not considered a significant constraint.	
Site 13	-	Watto n Youth and Com munit y Centr e	0.36	Mixture	Refurbis hed commu nity centre/n ew housing	N/A	WTC own the land and can refurbish the community centre on the existing footprint. WTC can include this as an aspiration in the plan as part of a list of projects to be paid for through planning obligations from other developments and does not need to be allocated as such. However, it is not considered suitable for housing as the site is designated open space on the Policies Map 2017. Additionally, there are dwellings on the eastern and western boundary to the site with little screening that currently overlook open green space. Housing development here would have significant visual impact on their residential amenity.	
Site 14	-	Watto n Sport s Centr e	1.52	Mixture	Protecti on of memori al playing fields/inf ill commu nity centre adjacen	N/a	This site is already designated open space on the Policies Map 2017 and therefore is protected from development. WTC do not need to allocate this in their neighbourhood plan. With regard to the development of a community centre on the site of the sports centre, WTC are the	

NP Site Ref.	SHLAA Site Ref.	Locat ion	Site area (ha)	Site type	Propos ed develo pment	SHLAA conclusion	AECOM site assessment	
					t to existing sports centre		owners of the land and therefore the land can be assumed available. The proposed infill site is highlighted on the appended proforma for reference This site itself is suitable for a community centre as access is good, there are no residential properties that would be impacted and the landscape is not sensitive to development. This could be included, with a revised red line boundary for the site of the proposed community centre, as an aspiration in the NP and given more in depth consideration.	

- Eight sites (Sites 3 through to 10) have been identified as potentially appropriate for allocation as they are suitable and achievable, however the land availability is unknown. It is considered that these sites should not be discounted at this point given the approach that WTC intends to take by approaching landowners after this initial assessment has been done. Therefore, whether these sites are available will become clear in due time. It will then be known whether the site can or cannot be allocated in the Neighbourhood Plan. Of these eight sites, four were identified as capable of delivering housing by Breckland Council's SHLAA – as such, discussions with the Council will need to be held, as well as with the landowner, to establish whether the alternative proposed use would be acceptable.
- Two sites can be included as aspirations rather than allocations, Sites 11 and 13, giving existing designations. Site 11, which is part of a site specific policy (W2) and has been saved to deliver 72 dwellings, while 5 ha will be allocated for open space. WTC can include the site as an aspirational allocation in the NP should they want to allocate more than 5ha of open space. The refurbishment of the community centre on Site 13 could be included as an aspiration on a list of projects in the NP to enable WTC to secure funds through planning obligations and S106 negotiations from other developments.
- One site, Site 14, was not considered to require allocation within the NP as it is already afforded protection for its purpose within the emerging Local Plan. In, addition the alternative proposal for the site to construct a community centre as part of an extension to Watton Sports Centre can be included as an aspiration in the NP as this point, and given more due consideration should this be an option that WTC want to pursue. The land can be assumed available and does not have any significant environmental constraints and therefore may be feasible.
- Three sites (Site 1, Site 2 and Site 12) are not considered appropriate for allocationas they have several significant constraints that make the proposed development for the site unfeasible. Site 1 and Site 2 both suffer from inadequate access and environmental constraints. Both sites fall within Breckland SPA Stone Curlew 1500m Primary Buffer, in addition Site 1 is in Flood Zone 3b. Site 1 would require new access through a boundary that has a significant ditch, whilst Site 2 depends on Site 1 coming forward to provide adequate access as existing access is through small residential roads. Site 12 is not considered appropriate due its high landscape sensitivity as identified in Breckland's Landscape Character Assessment and Watton Town Council's Character Appraisal.

# 4.2 Next steps

The suggested next steps are for WTC to take the site identified for allocation or potential allocation forward to landowners and/or Breckland Council and discuss the availability and agreeability for the proposed uses that the NP group intend for each site. Depending on the outcomes of these discussions, WTC will then need to select the preferred sites for allocation taking into consideration:

- The findings of this site assessment;
- The outcomes of the Examination into the emerging Local Plan;
- Development of the vision and objectives for Watton;
- Identification of priorities and key projects for the town e.g. improved community centre or sports facilities, boardwalk for wetland/loch areas, etc, which could be funded by planning obligations from developments;
- Engagement with the landowners of the sites which could potentially be allocated for development;
- Dialogue with BDC planning officers, particularly with regard to the country park proposals and also for potential open space designations where those sites have already been accepted for housing in the SHLAA; and
- Engagement with the community on the release of these sites.

Furthermore, it is recommended that WTC undertake further research and evidence compilation to assist in their site proposals to landowners. Particularly for employment uses where existing evidence supporting the emerging Local Plan suggests that further provision in Watton is not required.

Additional technical support is available through the Locality framework to help WTC with some of these next steps.

# 4.3 Viability

This assessment has not considered the viability of sites for the development proposed. However, the Neighbourhood Plan must be able to demonstrate that the sites are likely to be financially viable (also known as 'achievable') to develop. Whilst the sites are not being assessed for housing, viability assessments can be useful where WTC believe housing allocations for site may not be appropriate.

It is recommended that WTC seek advice on viability of sites from BDC. BDC will have Local Plan evidence base reports such as the Plan Viability, Affordable Housing and CIL Study that will indicate whether sites in Watton are likely to be viable for development.

The Town Council could also approach any landowner or developer that is actively promoting a site for the Neighbourhood Plan for evidence that the site is viable.

Further advice on viability is also provided in the Locality's CIL Neighbourhood Planning toolkit.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Available at <u>https://mycommunity.org.uk/resources/community-infrastructure-levy-neighbourhood-planning-toolkit/</u>

Appendix A Completed site appraisal proformas

# Site Assessment Proforma

Site Assessment Proforma General information				
Site Reference / name	Site No. 1 Business Park West Extension			
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located on the western boundary of the Neighbourhood Plan area. The site is south of Brandon Road, adjacent to the existing business park on Threxton Road.			
Current use	Undeveloped Land			
Proposed use	Business Park Extension			
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	6.04			
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	N/A			
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by Neighbourhood Plan group			

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			

or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.	
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	3PL/2016/1328/F – Erect 20M telecommunications mast, 6 antennas, (2) 600mm dishes, radio equipment cabinets and ancillary works within a secure fenced compound – Full – Permission.

Site Characteristics				
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	As an extension to the existing Threxton Road industrial Estate, the current access to the site is adequate for the proposed development. However this is only accessible through private industrial property, current public access to the site it poor and would need significant works to provide adequate access.			
Is the site accessible?	The site can be accessed from Linmore Court of Threxton Road to the west, connecting to Brandon Road to the north			
Provide details of site's connectivity	through the existing business park. To the east the northern part of the site can be accessed from Stokes Avenue.			

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: Green Belt Ancient Woodland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) National Park European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) SSSI Impact Risk Zone Site of Importance for Nature Conservation Site of Geological Importance Flood Zones 2 or 3	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	The site falls within Flood Zone 3a and is adjacent to a County Wildlife Site as set out by the policies maps of the Existing Development Plan. The site also falls within the SPA Stone Curlew 1500m Primary Buffer highlighted on the Policies Map 2017.
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	High	The site is scrubland which might have some ecological value. There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. The site is in the Stone Curlew

		Primary Buffer Zone.
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area); High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.	Medium landscape sensitivity Medium visual impact	Landscape Sensitivity as identified in Watton Character Appraisal 2018 Visual Sensitivity as identified in Breckland Council Landscape Character Assessment 2007 – the views in and out of the site are well screened, visual impact will be mitigate by this.
<b>Agricultural Land</b> Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some Loss	New access would have to be built on site adjacent to the west which is currently agricultural land.

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

#### **Community facilities and services** Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to **Observations and comments** local amenities such as (but not limited to): Town centre/local centre/shop • **Poorly located Employment location** ٠ Public transport • School(s) • **Open space/recreation/ leisure** •

Other key considerations					
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Unknown				
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	High	The site is greenfield with dense vegetation and trees defining the boundaries to the east, west and south of the site. The proposed development of a business park and the industrialisation of the site would incur significant loss of habitats, mature trees and hedgerows.			
Public Right of Way	/None				
Existing social or community value (provide details)	/No	It is considered that the site has no social or community value. Whilst the site is large open green space, it is surrounded by dense vegetation and only accessible from the industrial estate to the north and therefore not considered to be of community use or value.			
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No	Comments		
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$			
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		×			

Characteristics				
Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments			
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat / plateau – some flat areas with some slight sloping areas.			
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Yes – would result in the existing industrial estate merging into a residential neighbourhood to the east.			
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Yes			

### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.			Unknown
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<b>~</b>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### **Conclusions**

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constraints			$\checkmark$
The site is not appropriate for allocation	e for allocation		$\checkmark$
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):	n/a		
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site's accessibility is poor and would require significant improvements and a new road. The site boundary however has a significant ditch surrounding.</li> <li>The site is located within Flood Zone 3a and is a greenfield site, development here would increase risk flooding.</li> <li>The site has high ecological value as existing unmanaged scrubland and within the SPA Stone Cur Primary Buffer Zone.</li> </ul>		ad. The site ch surrounding it. a and is a d increase risk of xisting

### **Site Assessment Proforma**

**General information** 

Site Reference / name	
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located directly south of Stokes Avenue and Bridle Road, to the south of Brandon Road. The site is adjacent to Site 1 the west.
Current use	Agricultural land
Proposed use	Retail Park
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	9.87
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Neighbourhood Plan group

Context				
Is the site: Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.				
Site planning history Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?				

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access to the site is not adequate for the proposed use of a retail park. The site can currently only be accessed via Bridle Road to the north which connects to Stokes Avenue in a residential neighbourhood. The roads are small and the area is quiet in nature. The increase in footfall and traffic would not be supported by the existing access. The potential for access is from the west should the business park extension site come forward, this would connect to the site and provide the access required through a non-residential area. There is also potential for supporting access to be provided through extensions of Woodpecker Drive and Mallard Road from the north, however this is a residential area and there is a ditch running along the northern boundary.	
Is the site accessible? Provide details of site's connectivity	The site is difficult to access, but can be accessed from the north via Bridle Road and Stokes Avenue, although there is a dense vegetation buffer on the boundary to these roads.	

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
s the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		The site falls within an SPA Buffer zone as well as encompasses a County
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs	Wildlife Site as set out by Development Plan policies map.

<ul> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	etc	
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Low	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. The boundaries have hedgerows and some mature trees which would need to be given consideration and could have ecological value
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area); High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.	Low landscape sensitivity Medium visual impact	
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some loss	The sites current use is agricultural land

#### Heritage considerations Question Assessment Comments guidelines Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage Limited or no impact or designations or assets? no requirement for mitigation **Conservation area** • • Scheduled monument • **Registered Park and Garden**

<ul> <li>Registered B</li> </ul>	attlefield		
<ul> <li>Listed buildir</li> </ul>	ng		
Known archa	eology		
<ul> <li>Locally listed</li> </ul>	building		

Community facilities and services

Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to local amenities such as (but not limited to):		Observations and comments
<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul>	Poorly located	
Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.		

#### Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Several Few None Unknown		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	High	Development of the site would lead to direct loss of habitat. There is a County Wildlife Site designation within the site too that would be affected.	
Public Right of Way	None		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	No	The current use is agricultural and therefore not considered to have social or community value.	
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments	
Ground Contamination			
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations			

## Characteristics

Characteristics which may affect development	Comments
characteriotice which may anoot acterephone	Commente

on the site:	
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Yes – The site is adjacent to a quiet residential neighbourhood. Development of a retail park would be large enough to significantly change this character.
Any other comments?	

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Availability

	Yes	Νο	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.			Unknown
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		×	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Conclusions

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constraints			$\checkmark$
The site is not appropriate for allocation			$\checkmark$
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):	n/a		

Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	•	The site's access is not adequate for use as a retail park, and is reliant on Site 1 coming forward as a business park to then extend access from the west. Access via existing routes is not adequate as this would go through a quiet residential area with small roads not large enough to support traffic associated with a retail park
	•	There would visual and acoustic impact on amenity of the existing dwellings to the north and east of the site
	•	Development would be large enough to change the character of the site from a residential area to commercial.
	•	The site is within the Stone Curlew Primary Buffer zone.

# Site Assessment Proforma

**General information** 

Site Reference / name	
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located to the south of Brandon Road off Heron Way, Kingfisher Way and Mill Road to its north. The site is east of Watton Westfield Infant and Nursery School, east of West Road.
Current use	Open/Green Space – part of the site is designated open space
Proposed use	Open Space
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	5.3
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W18
Method of site identificatio n (e.g. proposed by landowner	Proposed in Breckland SHLAA 2014 and Neighbourhood Plan Group.

|--|

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.	✓			
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?				

#### Site Characteristics

Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for the proposed use as Open Space.
Is the site accessible?	There are several access points from the north via Kingfisher Way, Heron Way and Mill Road. From the east
Provide details of site's connectivity	the site can be accessed via West Road and from the south the site can be accessed from Jubilee Road and Queensway.

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: Green Belt Ancient Woodland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) National Park European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) SSSI Impact Risk Zone Site of Importance for Nature Conservation Site of Geological Importance Flood Zones 2 or 3	Νο	The site lies within the SSSI Impact Risk Zone of Weyland Woodland, however its proximity is fairly distant
Ecological value?	High	There could be potential for

Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?		protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. Thick hedgerow, several mature trees and quality open space. There was a large amount of birds on site when visited. Site also has an attenuation pond which will have ecological value.
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations	Low landscape sensitivity Low visual impact	
<ul> <li>and/or impacts on the character of the location.</li> <li>(e.g. in built up area);</li> <li>High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character.</li> <li>Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.</li> </ul>		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? • Conservation area • Scheduled monument • Registered Park and Garden • Registered Battlefield • Listed building • Known archaeology • Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	Whilst the site directly impacts a registered park and garden the impact will not be adverse and will be mitigated.

#### **Community facilities and services Observations and comments** Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to local amenities such as (but not limited to): Town centre/local centre/shop ٠ **Employment location** • Public transport • School(s) • favourably **Open space/recreation/ leisure** • located facilities **Health facilities** • Cycle route(s) •

Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.

Other key considerations			
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Few		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low/	The proposed u habitats.	ise as protected open space would preserve
Public Right of Way	/None		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes	The site is currently public open/green space and serves the local community. However the proposed development to maintain the space as open space will mitigate against any loss of social or community value.	
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

Characteristics		
Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments	
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat	

Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο
Any other comments?	The site has no buffers north or south and consequently houses have views of the site, however maintenance as open space is unlikely to have any visual or overshadowing impact.

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.	>		The site is owned by Breckland District Council and part of the site owned by Norfolk County Council.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Conclusions

		Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation		
This site has minor constraints		
The site has significant constraints		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
The site is not appropriate for allocation		
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):		

Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site has significant constraints, it is within the Breckland SPA and has an attenuation pond on site</li> <li>The site has significant ecological value.</li> <li>The site is not screened for various residential neighbourhood</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>However, the proposed use as open space would have no detrimental impact on any of these issues, and allocating it as open space would preserve the ecological integrity.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Access to the site is adequate for use as open space as there is good pedestrian access from the north and east via residential areas.</li> <li>Availability currently unknown</li> </ul>

# Site Assessment Proforma

General informatio	n
Site Reference / name	Site No.4 – Country Park North
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	Coge Earth       Coge Earth         The site is located north of Brandon Road, off of Saham Road. The site lies to the north west of Loch Neaton, west of Dereham road.
Current use	Partially agricultural, partially undeveloped land
Proposed use	Country Park
<b>Gross area (Ha)</b> Total area of the site in hectares	18.9
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	Partial ST08
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
			✓	

Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.		
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the		

outcome?

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for the proposed use as a county park.
	The site has good pedestrian access from both Dereham Road and Saham Road.
Is the site accessible?	The site can be accessed from the eastern and western boundaries, from Dereham Road and Saham Road
Provide details of site's connectivity	respectively. There is potential to increase accessibility from the south from Sharman Avenue, however this is a residential area and development may be complicated.

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		Part of the northern boundary falls in to Flood Zone 3b.
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	The site is within an SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however is on the outer extents.
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. The west part of the site is likely to be low ecological

		value, however to the east
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area); High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.	High landscape sensitivity High visual impact	
<b>Agricultural Land</b> Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some loss	To the east of the site there is some land currently being used as part of Loch Farm, this would be lost.

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? • Conservation area • Scheduled monument • Registered Park and Garden • Registered Battlefield • Listed building • Known archaeology • Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

#### **Community facilities and services** Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to **Observations and comments** local amenities such as (but not limited to): moderately Town centre/local centre/shop • **Employment location** located • Public transport • School(s) • **Open space/recreation/ leisure** •

Other key considerations				
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Unknown			
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	medium	The site is adjacent to the a Priority Habitat Inventory (Deciduous Woodland), and therefore there is potential to lead to the loss of habitats with development.		
Public Right of Way	None			
Existing social or community value (provide details)	No	The site is currently an open field, with partial segment owing to Loch Farm, and therefore it is considered to be of no significant social or community value.		
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments		
Ground Contamination		✓		
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations	✓			

Characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Slight slope
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	No
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Yes
Any other comments?	The site was chosen because it can link to sites that are under development and will link to existing sports

There are open views suitable for a country park.
---

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.	×		Part of the site is owned by Watton Town Council. The electricity substation is owned by Eastern Power Networks plc and other parts (such as Loch Farm) are privately owned and would require consultation.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li></li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		~	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### **Conclusions**

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints	$\checkmark$		
The site has significant constraints			
The site is not appropriate for allocation			
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):	n/a		
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>There is good pedes through Watton Spo</li> <li>The site is linked we Sports Centre on the course to the north.</li> <li>Parts of the site are</li> </ul>	rts Centre. Il to sports infrastru e south east bound	ucture with Watton ary and a golf

•	country park would not affect this. The park would link up to potential development site and the rest of the built up area.
	The site is a known wet area and is not suitable for development.
	The site is appropriate for allocation as Local Green Space with a revised smaller site boundary – a country park cannot be allocated in a NP, but the aspiration should be acknowledged and discussed with BDC Availability currently unknown

Site Reference / name	Site No.5 – Country Park East
	<image/>
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located east of Dereham Road, adjacent north of Church Road, with the sites eastern boundary running along Redhill Lane next to Redhill Country park.
Current use	Undeveloped Land
Proposed use	Country park
Proposed use Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	Country park 24.78
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in	
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares SHLAA site reference	24.78

 $\checkmark$ 

Brownfield: Previously developed land which is

or was occupied by a permanent structure,

eld	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown

including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.	
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	3PL/2010/0947/F – Single storey extension to motor repair workshop & C/U of agricultural land to accommodate new access roadway – Full – Permission

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for a Country Park.	
Is the site accessible?	The site can be accessed from the south via Church Road and from the west via Dereham road. There is an existing	
Provide details of site's connectivity	Country Park being serviced by Church Road, however this is not of the scale of the proposed site. Therefore multiple access points need to be considered, and there is potential for a new road on the northern boundary of the site.	

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments	
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		Part of the site to the north falls in Flood Zone 3a.	
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs		
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Low	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. Potential ecological value on boundaries however low ecological value in agricultura land.	

Landscape and Visual Impact		
Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?		
Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained	High landscape sensitivity High visual impact	
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments	
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets?			
<ul> <li>Conservation area</li> <li>Scheduled monument</li> <li>Registered Park and Garden</li> <li>Registered Battlefield</li> <li>Listed building</li> <li>Known archaeology</li> <li>Locally listed building</li> </ul>	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	There are 2 listed buildings adjacent to the site on the southern boundary.	
Community facilities and services	•	•	
Is the site, in general terms, close/acces		Observations and comments	

<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul>	local amenities such as (but not limited to):	
	<ul> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> </ul>	

Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and
favourably located if < 400m from services.

#### Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low		
Public Right of Way	None		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Νο		
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		<b>~</b>	

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments	
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat /	
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο	
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο	
Any other comments?	Views are open and scenic providing good natural asset for a country park. The site would have potential pedestrian access through Church Walk and links up wel with the Church and cemetery grounds to the south. The site is working agricultural land so would be subject to agricultural land classifications.	

Watton Town Neighbourhood Plan Site Assessment

### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.			Unknown
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.			

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Conclusions

		Please tick a box		
The site is appropriate for allocation				
This site has minor constraints		✓		
The site has significant constraints				
The site is not appropriate for allocation				
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):				
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site views lend itself to public open space</li> <li>There would be no detrimental impact on the landscape.</li> <li>The site links with the Church and residential neighbourhoods</li> <li>Existing access to the site is adequate for use as a country. Pedestrian access is good, accessible from Church Road and Church Walk.</li> <li>The site is appropriate for allocation as Local Green Space with a revised smaller site boundary – a country park cannot be allocated in a NP but the aspiration should be noted and discussed further with BDC</li> <li>Availability currently unknown</li> </ul>			

## **Site Assessment Proforma**

General information	
Site Reference / name	<image/>
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located north of Norwich Road, in between Cadman Way and Church Road, south of Church Walk.
Current use	Undeveloped land – unmanaged open space
Proposed use	Open space
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in	1.43

Total area of the site in hectares	
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W25
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group and Breckland District SHLAA

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure,	$\checkmark$			

including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.		
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?		

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	There is no current access to the site. For this to become a usable open space the site would need to be opened up and access provided. There is potential for pedestrian access off of Church Walk and the site lends itself to be accessed through this route.	
Is the site accessible?	The site is served by multiple access points. The site can be accessed from the east via Railway Mews joining on to	
Provide details of site's connectivity	Church Road. The site can also be accessed from the west via Kittell Close joining Cadman Way as well as from the north via Church Walk.	

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments	
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:			
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs		
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. The site is scrubland and could have potential ecologica value.	

Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area); High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.	Low landscape sensitivity Low visual impact	Site boundaries have vegetation buffers that block views of residential dwellings to the south therefore any visual impact is likely to be low.
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question		ssessment juidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation		The site is located near 2 listed buildings to the north east of it, however the proposed use as open space is unlikely to have any impact on these.
Community facilities and services			
Is the site, in general terms, close/access local amenities such as (but not limited • Town centre/local centre/shop • Employment location • Public transport • School(s)		favourably located	Observations and comments

- Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities
  Health facilities
- Cycle route(s)

#### Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low		
Public Right of Way	None		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	No	buildings and lie	ently undeveloped and lies behind residential as behind residential buildings, it is therefore ave no significant social or community value.
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No	Comments
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations	<b>~</b>		Site has a power line and pole in the centre.

Characteristics	
Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat /
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	/No
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	/No
Any other comments?	

# 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.			Unknown
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints	$\checkmark$		
The site has significant constraints			
The site is not appropriate for allocation			
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):			
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site is well locat between housing on space.</li> <li>The site backs onto provide a degree of</li> <li>The site is currently reduce its deficit in p</li> <li>Availability currently</li> </ul>	what is currently u Linden Court and c social and commur undesignated and public open space.	nmanaged open could therefore hity value.

# **Site Assessment Proforma**

General information	
Site Reference /	Site No.7 – Church Road Park Woodland
name	Cogle Earth
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site lies directly south of Church Road, north of Hunters Oak and the surrounding residential neighbourhood.
Current use	Partially used as church grounds and cemetery, community allotments and undeveloped land.
Proposed use	Park Woodland – to screen country park from settlements to the south
<b>Gross area (Ha)</b> Total area of the site in hectares	4.32
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W19
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group and Breckland District SHLAA

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
			✓	

Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.	
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	3PL/2017/1175/F – Residential development of 9 bungalows with associated infrastructure and public open space – Full – Refusal The application was refused on the grounds that development of the site would have a negative impact on important rural features of the location. Additionally, it would be harmful to the setting of a Grade 2 Heritage Asset.

# Suitability

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for the proposed use as park woodland.
Is the site accessible?	The site is accessible from various points. The site can be access from the north and east via Church Road, or from
Provide details of site's connectivity	the south via Hunters Oak.

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: • Green Belt • Ancient Woodland • Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)	Νο	The site has designated open space on the eastern side as set out by the polices map.
<ul> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs	
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application.
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms	High landscape sensitivity	As the purpose of the woodland is to screen settlement, consideration

1		1
of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained	High visual impact	needs to be given to existing dwellings not to overshadow o impact amenity.
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some loss	The allotments to the south of the site would be lost

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building Community facilities and services	Directly impact and/or mitigation not possible Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	The site encompasses the church and cemetery. The church is a listed building and therefore the entire church grounds would be affected by development.
Is the site, in general terms, close/access local amenities such as (but not limited • Town centre/local centre/shop • Employment location • Public transport • School(s) • Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities • Health facilities • Cycle route(s) Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and	to): favourably located	Observations and comments

favourably located if < 400m from services.	

Other key considerations			
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low/	The development of park woodland would create habitat.	
Public Right of Way	Yes	Church grounds	
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes/	The site contains a church and cemetery, as well as community allotments, all deemed to have social and community value.	
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments	
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat /
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο
Any other comments?	The proposed use as woodland is to be brough forward in tandem with the country park on site 5 and screen the settlement to the south.

# 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.	>		Landowner's intentions are to develop the site as a planning application was submitted and refused in 2017.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<b>~</b>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

				Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation				
This site has minor constraints				✓
The site has significant constraints				
The site is not appropriate for allocation				
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):				
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	•	The use of the site a adjacent dwellings a amenity. Therefore the woodland maintained dwellings.	nd have a detrime	ntal impact to their uitable if the
	•	The site has no outs working agricultural l reasons preventing a subject to an ecologi the ecology of the sit on existing ecology.	land, so there are i a woodland. This w ical survey to ensu	no obvious vould however be ire that changing
	•	As there has already housing, the landow woodland – also clas SHLAA so a change with BDC too	ner will likely object ssed as deliverable	t to use as for housing in

Watton Town Neighbourhood Plan Site Assessment

# Site Assessment Proforma

General information	on
General information	on Site No.9 – Thetford Road 6 <sup>th</sup> Form

Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is adjacent west of Thetford Road, south of Churchill Close and east of Wayland Academy.
Current use	Undeveloped land
Proposed use	6 <sup>th</sup> Form College
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	1.9
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W15
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by SHLAA and NP group

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown

N

Site planning history	3NM/2014/0094/	NMA – NMA to 3P	L/2013/0510/F	– re-position
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.	$\checkmark$			
has not previously been developed)				

Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?

3NM/2014/0094/NMA – NMA to 3PL/2013/0510/F – re-position plots 42 & 44-46 to avoid rising water main – Non material minor amendment - Permission

# Suitability

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	
Is the site accessible? Provide details of site's connectivity	The site can be accessed from Thetford road which is a large road and from the north via Churchill close. There is potential to add access from the south to relieve traffic from other points.

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		The site is in close proximity to the Wayland Wood SSSI.
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	The site has been allocated on the policies map (W1)
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. Site is scrubland, there could be potential ecological value.

Landscape and Visual Impact		There is no screening between the site and dwellings to the
Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?		south, therefore consideration would need to be given to these houses to not have
Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained	Low landscape	detrimental impact on amenity or visual impact.
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);	sensitivity Medium visual impact	
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
<b>Agricultural Land</b> Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

#### Community facilities and services

Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to local amenities such as (but not limited to):		Observations and comments
<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul>	moderately located	

ite is poorly located if > 800m,		
y located if 400m to 800m, and located if < 400m from services.		

# Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None			
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low	No significant habitats on the site		
Public Right of Way	None			
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Νο	The site is currently undeveloped and unusable, therefore considered to have no community or social value.		
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments		
Ground Contamination		✓		
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations	V		There is a power line on the north east corner of the site which would need to be given consideration to be built around.	

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Yes
Any other comments?	Views in and out of the site are ok apart from the dwellings to the south.

# 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.			Unknown – however much of the land south of the site has recently/is still being developed and therefore the site may be available.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	Ý	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.	V		

## 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

				Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation				
This site has minor constraints				$\checkmark$
The site has significant constraints				
The site is not appropriate for allocation				
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):				
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	in function of the second			ducation
	<ul> <li>Site access is adequate for a 6<sup>th</sup> form college. Thetfore Road serves the site and is capable of supporting the additional traffic.</li> </ul>			
	•	The site has little evi few TPOs on the site these can be avoide	e boundary to cons	
	•	The site is adjacent infill between the new to the north.		
	•	Need evidence for re discuss with LEA	equiring a new sixtl	n form college – to
	•	Site considered capa so would need discu	•	•

alternative use

<b>Site Assessment Profor</b>	rma
-------------------------------	-----

Site Reference / name       Site No.10 – Wayland Wood Extension 1         Site Address / Display in the site in object of the site in object of the site in hectares       Site Address (or brief description of broad location)         The site is located between Priory Road and Griston Road, north of Wayland Wood.       The site is located between Priory Road and Griston Road, north of Wayland Wood.         Current use       Undeveloped land         Proposed use       Wood extension/cemetery         Gross area (Ha) nectares       19.83         SHLAA site reference (if applicable)       W14         Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by NP group and SHLAA identification (e.g. proposed by group and SHLAA identification (e.g. proposed by Step Step Step Step Step Step Step Step	General information	Toronna
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)The site is located between Priory Road and Griston Road, north of Wayland Wood.Current useUndeveloped landProposed useWood extension/cemeteryGross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares19.83SHLAA site reference (if applicable)W14Method of site identification (e.g. proposed byProposed by NP group and SHLAA	Site Reference / name	Site No.10 – Wayland Wood Extension 1
description of broad location)Undeveloped landCurrent useUndeveloped landProposed useWood extension/cemeteryGross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares19.83SHLAA site reference (if applicable)W14Method of site identification (e.g. proposed byProposed by NP group and SHLAA		Coogle Earth         Out           Nettorement         Out
Proposed useWood extension/cemeteryGross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares19.83SHLAA site reference (if applicable)W14Method of site identification (e.g. proposed byProposed by NP group and SHLAA	description	The site is located between Priory Road and Griston Road, north of Wayland Wood.
Gross area (Ha)       19.83         Total area of the site in       19.83         SHLAA site reference       W14         (if applicable)       W14         Method of site       Proposed by NP group and SHLAA         proposed by       Proposed by NP group and SHLAA	Current use	Undeveloped land
Total area of the site in hectares       W14         SHLAA site reference (if applicable)       W14         Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by       Proposed by NP group and SHLAA	Proposed use	Wood extension/cemetery
(if applicable)       Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by   Proposed by NP group and SHLAA	Total area of the site in	19.83
identification (e.g. proposed by		W14
	identification (e.g. proposed by	Proposed by NP group and SHLAA

Context				
Is the site: Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land	$\checkmark$			

and any associated infrastructure.		
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?		

# Suitability

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for the proposed use as woodland extension
Is the site accessible?	The site is accessible from Thetford Road, where the current landowner has an entrance on to site which with
Provide details of site's connectivity	minimal intervention would serve as adequate access for either a cemetery or woodland extension.

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: Green Belt Ancient Woodland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) National Park European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) SSSI Impact Risk Zone Site of Importance for Nature Conservation Site of Geological Importance Flood Zones 2 or 3	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	The site is directly adjacent to the Wayland Wood SSSI Part of the site on the western boundary is in flood Zone 3a as identified by the policies map
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	High	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. There is potential ecological value as 2 matures trees are on site, also adjacent to woodland.
Landscape and Visual Impact	Low landscape sensitivity	

Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?	Low visual impact	
Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained		
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? • Conservation area • Scheduled monument • Registered Park and Garden • Registered Battlefield • Listed building • Known archaeology • Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

#### Community facilities and services

e site, in general terms, close/accessible to I amenities such as (but not limited to):		Observations and comments	
<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul> re a site is poorly located if > 800m,	Poorly located		
re a site is poorly located if > 800m,			

moderately located if 400m to 800m, and	
favourably located if < 400m from services.	

Other key considerations					
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Unknown	Unknown howe to be built arour	ver 2 mature trees on site which would need		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	medium				
Public Right of Way	/None				
Existing social or community value (provide details)	No	The site is currently undeveloped and unused so therefore has no community or social value.			
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments		
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$			
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations					

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	/No
Any other comments?	Views in and out of the site are open.

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

**Availability** 

|--|

Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.		Unknown – Watton Town Council know the landowner and would have to approach them to find out availability.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

## 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

				Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation				
This site has minor constraints				
The site has significant constraints				✓
The site is not appropriate for allocation				
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):				
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	T s • T n s o h • T itt p P • A	Thetford Road howe should the use as a The views on the sin outh eastern and n sufficient buffer area overshadowing, and have significant det The site is likely to h is proximate to anc proposed uses are so oreserve the existin availability unknown	good, there is an e ever this would nee cemetery be broug te are open with set orth western bound as woodland is unlik d use as a cemetery rimental visual impa- nave significant eco ient woodland; ther seen as suitable allo g ecological value.	d refurbishment ht forward. ttlements on the ary. However, with kely to cause <i>i</i> is unlikely to act. logical value given efore the ocations to

# Site Assessment Proforma

General information	on
Site Reference / name	
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located adjacent to Griston Road on the north east boundary of the site, south of Field Maple Road. The site lies north east to Wayland Wood.
Current use	Partial agricultural and undeveloped land.
Proposed use	Woodland Extension- northern corner has permission for 9 bungalows.
<b>Gross area (Ha)</b> Total area of the site in hectares	15.74
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W20
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
	$\checkmark$			

|--|

#### Site planning history

Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?

# Suitability

010 01

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The current access is adequate for the proposed woodland extension
Is the site accessible?	The site is accessible from Griston Road and from Field Maple Road to the north. The proposed use as woodland
Provide details of site's connectivity	extension does not require any increased access beyond the existing.

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments	
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: • Green Belt • Ancient Woodland • Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) • National Park • European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) • SSSI Impact Risk Zone • Site of Importance for Nature Conservation • Site of Geological Importance • Flood Zones 2 or 3	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	The site is adjacent to an SSSI, however the proposed use as woodland extension is not likely to impact	
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Low	Agricultural land with low potential ecological value	
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from	Low landscape sensitivity Low visual impact	Dwellings to the north of the site would need be given consideration that no overshadowing occurs as a result of woodland extension.	

surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained		
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets?		
<ul> <li>Conservation area</li> <li>Scheduled monument</li> <li>Registered Park and Garden</li> <li>Registered Battlefield</li> <li>Listed building</li> <li>Known archaeology</li> <li>Locally listed building</li> </ul>	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	
Community facilities and services		
Is the site, in general terms, close/acces	sible to	Observations and comments

local amenities such as (but not limited to): Town centre/local centre/shop • **Employment location** • **Public transport** • School(s) • **Open space/recreation/ leisure Poorly located** • facilities • **Health facilities** Cycle route(s) • Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.

Other key considerations			
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low	New habitat wo	uld be provided
Public Right of Way	None		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	No	The site is undeveloped with parts owing to agricultural land therefore it is considered to have little social/community value.	
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$	
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο
Any other comments?	

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

**Availability** 

|--|

Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.		Unknown – the north eastern corner has planning permission for 9 bungalows, which would suggest parts of the site are available.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

# 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constraints			$\checkmark$
The site is not appropriate for allocation			
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):	n/a		
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site is working a ecological value. The land classification an</li> <li>Same as site 9</li> </ul>	e site does not hav	e an agricultural

# Site Assessment Proforma

General information	
Site Reference / name	Site No.12 – Portal Avenue Open Space
	Coogle Earth
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located south of Norwich road, in between Portal Avenue to the west and Canberra Road/Washington Drive to the east, north of Elworthy Close.
Current use	Residential; undeveloped; allotment
Proposed use	Open Space
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	11.4
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	W29
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group and SHLAA

Context				
<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is			✓	

or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.		
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?	- Residential dev servicing and infra	•

# Suitability

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The site's current access is adequate for the proposed use as open space.	
Is the site accessible? Provide details of site's connectivity	The site is accessible via Norwich Road on the northern boundary, which provides good connectivity to wider areas. The site can be access from both east and west boundaries via Canberra Road and Portal Avenue respectively.	

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments		
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations: • Green Belt • Ancient Woodland • Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) • National Park • European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area) • SSSI Impact Risk Zone • Site of Importance for Nature Conservation • Site of Geological Importance • Flood Zones 2 or 3	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs	The site has a saved residential allocation as identified by the policies map as well as identified as open space.		
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	High	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application.		
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?	Low landscape sensitivity Low visual impact	Views are open with houses to east and west. Maintenance as open space is unlikely to have significant visual impact.		

Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained		
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	Allotment in south west corner may be impacted.

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

Community facilities and services

Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to local amenities such as (but not limited to):		Observations and comments
<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>d to minimise flood risk to people, ities</li> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul>	Poorly located	
Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.		

Other key considerations						
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Several	Several mature	Several mature trees across the centre of the site.			
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low/	The site has limited mature trees or hedgerows and is enclosed by residential neighbourhoods. The use as open space is also unlikely to impact on what habitat does exist.				
Public Right of Way	Yes	Part of the site is existing housing and pathways on the north west part of the site.				
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes	The site encompasses several houses as well as an allotment.				
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments				
Ground Contamination						
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations		×				

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Flat – with slight slopes
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο
Any other comments?	Site is suitable for open space within housing settlements.

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Availability

Yes No Comments
-----------------

Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		Is part of a site specific policy (W2) and is saved for residential development which can deliver 72 dwellings and open space provision.
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		$\checkmark$	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

# 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constraints			
The site is not appropriate for allocation			$\checkmark$
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):			
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site does not need to be allocated as it already has designation for some open space</li> <li>Could be included as aspiration for more open space in the proposed development</li> </ul>		

# Site Assessment Proforma

General information	
Site Reference / name	Site 13 – Business Park East
	Cogle Earth
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site lies south east of Watton Green and north of Norwich road. The site is directly north of New Green business park.
Current use	Partially undeveloped; solar panel farm; agricultural
Proposed use	
<b>Gross area (Ha)</b> Total area of the site in hectares	12.31
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group.
Context	

<b>Is the site:</b> Greenfield: land (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land	$\checkmark$			

and any associated infrastructure.			
	3PL/2016/1401/F for agricultural us toward rear – Ful	• •	• •

# Suitability

.....

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The site's current access is adequate for the proposed development of a business park, however would benefit from slight improvements.	
Is the site accessible?	The site can be access from Watton Green from the north west of the site, or via Norwich road to the south which	
Provide details of site's connectivity	provides good connectivity to surrounding areas. The site lies directly north of an existing business park and would act as an extension of this, therefore there is potential to extend the multiple existing entrances to this park up to the site to improve access from Norwich road.	

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	
Ecological value? Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application. The boundaries of the site are likely to have significant ecological value.
Landscape and Visual Impact	High landscape	The site is well screened from

Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?	sensitivity medium visual impact	surrounding area with a few houses to the north to consider.
Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained		
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	Some loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? Conservation area Scheduled monument Registered Park and Garden Registered Battlefield Listed building Known archaeology Locally listed building Community facilities and services	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	
Is the site, in general terms, close/access local amenities such as (but not limited • Town centre/local centre/shop • Employment location • Public transport • School(s) • Open space/recreation/ leisure facilities • Health facilities • Cycle route(s)		Observations and comments

Where a site is poorly located if > 800m,	
moderately located if 400m to 800m, and	
favourably located if < 400m from services.	

#### Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Few	There are a few trees on site which would need to be given consideration to be built around.		
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	medium	There is dense vegetation of mature trees and hedgerows running through the site and defining the boundaries, these would likely by impact by development.		
Public Right of Way	Yes	The site encompasses a farm and the associated estate, this would likely be impacted by development.		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes	The site encompasses a solar farm, deemed to have social/community value that would be impacted by development.		
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments	
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$		
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations				

#### **Characteristics**

Characteristics which may affect development on the site:	Comments
<b>Topography:</b> Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient	Slope towards the north of the site
Coalescence Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	Νο
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Yes
Any other comments?	

# 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

#### Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.		$\checkmark$	
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		~	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

		Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation		
This site has minor constraints		
The site has significant constraints		✓
The site is not appropriate for allocation		✓
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):		
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The site has high landscape sensitivity as identified in Breckland Landscape Assessment (2007).</li> <li>The site has high ecological value</li> <li>There are several trees on site which need to be given consideration</li> <li>There is little screen to the north of the site from houses who are elevated and would suffer visual impact</li> </ul>	

# Site Assessment Proforma

Site Reference / name	Site No.13 – Community Centre 1
	Goögle Earth         United State
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site address is 38 Harvey street, north of the High Street and east of Saham Road.
Current use	Partially youth and community centre.
Proposed use	Community centre/knock down for houses and relocate different location
<b>Gross area (Ha)</b> Total area of the site in hectares	0.36
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	
Method of site identification (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	Proposed by NP group

# Is the site: Greenfield Brownfield Mixture Unknown Greenfield: Iand (farmland, or open space, that has not previously been developed) Greenfield Brownfield Mixture Unknown Brownfield: Previously developed land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, Image: Comparison of the structure of the

including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated infrastructure.		
<b>Site planning history</b> Have there been any previous applications for development on this land? What was the outcome?		

# Suitability

Site Characteristics		
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	Access through a small road on the back of houses not suitable for a community centre	
Is the site accessible?	The site is accessible from Harvey Street leading on to the High Street providing good connectivity to wider areas.	
Provide details of site's connectivity	····g. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:		
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>	No Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs etc	
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Low	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application.
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact? Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features	Low landscape sensitivity Medium visual impact	Development of houses on the green space to the back of the existing centre could have significant visual impact on neighbouring properties, however continued use as community centre is unlikely to have any impact.

could be retained		
Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);		
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
Agricultural Land Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments	
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? • Conservation area • Scheduled monument • Registered Park and Garden • Registered Battlefield • Listed building • Known archaeology	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	The site is designated open space as identified by the policies map and lies adjacent to a conservation area.	
Locally listed building     Community facilities and services			
Is the site, in general terms, close/acces local amenities such as (but not limited		Observations and comments	

<ul> <li>Town centre/local centre/shop</li> <li>Employment location</li> <li>Public transport</li> <li>School(s)</li> <li>Open space/recreation/ leisure</li> </ul>	favourably	
facilities <ul> <li>Health facilities</li> <li>Cycle route(s)</li> </ul>	located	
Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.		

Other key considerations

Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	None			
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	Low/			
Public Right of Way	Yes	Site encompasses paths to community centre		
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes	Site encompasses youth and community centre deemed to have significant social and community value.		
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	No Comments		
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$		
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		Power lines crossing the front of the site	

#### **Characteristics** Comments Characteristics which may affect development on the site: **Topography:** Flat Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient Coalescence No Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another. Scale and nature of development would be large No enough to significantly change size and character of settlement Any other comments? Views – houses on east and west are currently overlooking open space so development here could have significant impact on amenity.

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Availability

Yes No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or	Owned by Watton Town Council.

development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.		
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?		
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

			Please tick a box
The site is appropriate for allocation			
This site has minor constraints			
The site has significant constraints			
The site is not appropriate for allocation			$\checkmark$
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):			
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	• The site's existing access is at the back of houses and not safe and adequate for continued use as a youth and community centre without significant refurbishment and improvement.		
	• The site is designated for housing to be deve the community centre	eloped however re	efurbishment of
	The site could be adde neighbourhood plan to refurbishment of the co contributions.	o discuss securing	g funds for

# **Site Assessment Proforma**

#### **General information**

Site Reference / name	Site 14 – Community Centre 2
	Coole Earth Coole Earth
Site Address (or brief description of broad location)	The site is located at Watton Sport and Social Club, 69 Dereham Road. The site is directly north of Nelson Close, east of Sharman Avenue.
Current use	Site is currently occupied by Watton Sports Centre
Proposed use	Community Centre infill at sports centre and protection of original memorial playing fields
Gross area (Ha) Total area of the site in hectares	1.52
SHLAA site reference (if applicable)	LP[104]012 - Undevelopable

Method of site identificati on (e.g. proposed by landowner etc.)	NP group call for sites				
Context					
	and (farmland, or open space, that ously been developed)	Greenfield	Brownfield	Mixture	Unknown
or was occup including the	Previously developed land which is bied by a permanent structure, curtilage of the developed land bociated infrastructure.			<b>~</b>	
	<b>g history</b> een any previous applications for on this land? What was the				

# Suitability

Site Characteristics	
Is the current access adequate for the proposed development? If not, is there potential for access to be provided?	The sites access is adequate for the proposed use as a community centre. As a sports centre the access of Dereham road is sufficient to support substantial traffic in and out of the site. Potential to improve access by flattening banks at the loch.
Is the site accessible?	The sites main access point is through the entrance to the sports centre off Dereham Road.
Provide details of site's connectivity	

#### **Environmental Considerations**

Questions	Assessment guidelines	Observations and comments
Is the site within or adjacent to the following policy or environmental designations:	No	The site is protected open space as identified by pre- submission policies map 2017
<ul> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Ancient Woodland</li> <li>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</li> <li>National Park</li> </ul>	Site lies within Impact Risk Zone of one/two SSSIs	The site is considered as undevelopable in the SHLAA 2015 Addendum

<ul> <li>European nature site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Area)</li> <li>SSSI Impact Risk Zone</li> <li>Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Site of Geological Importance</li> <li>Flood Zones 2 or 3</li> </ul>		
<b>Ecological value?</b> Could the site be home to protected species such as bats, great crested newts, badgers etc.?	Unknown	There could be potential for protected species; ecological survey would be required ahead of any planning application.
Landscape and Visual Impact Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape and visual impact?		
Low sensitivity: site not visible or less visible from surrounding locations, existing landscape or townscape character is poor quality, existing features could be retained Medium sensitivity: development of the site would lead to a moderate impact on landscape or townscape character due to visibility from surrounding locations and/or impacts on the character of the location. (e.g. in built up area);	High landscape sensitivity High visual impact	
High sensitivity: Development would be within an area of high quality landscape or townscape character, and/or would significantly detract from local character. Development would lead to the loss of important features of local distinctiveness- without the possibility of mitigation.		
<b>Agricultural Land</b> Land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1,2 or 3a)	No loss	

#### Heritage considerations

Question	Assessment guidelines	Comments
Is the site within or adjacent to one or more of the following heritage designations or assets? • Conservation area • Scheduled monument • Registered Park and Garden • Registered Battlefield • Listed building • Known archaeology • Locally listed building	Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	

#### **Community facilities and services** Is the site, in general terms, close/accessible to **Observations and comments** local amenities such as (but not limited to): Town centre/local centre/shop • **Employment location** ٠ Public transport • School(s) • favourably **Open space/recreation/ leisure** ٠ located facilities **Health facilities** • Cycle route(s) • Where a site is poorly located if > 800m, moderately located if 400m to 800m, and favourably located if < 400m from services.

Other key considerations							
Are there any Tree Preservation Orders on the site?	Unknown						
Would development lead to the loss of habitats with the potential to support protected species, for example mature trees, woodland, hedgerows and waterbodies?	/Low/						
Public Right of Way	Yes/						
Existing social or community value (provide details)	Yes	The site is currently a cricket pitch at the sports and social club and therefore has high social and community value.					
Is the site likely to be affected by any of the following?	Yes	Νο	Comments				
Ground Contamination		$\checkmark$					
Significant infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/ pipe lines, or in close proximity to hazardous installations							

# Characteristics Characteristics which may affect development on the site: Comments Topography: Flat/ gentle slope/ steep gradient Flat Coalescence /No

Development would result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another.	
Scale and nature of development would be large enough to significantly change size and character of settlement	Νο
Any other comments?	

#### 3.0. Availability

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

Availability

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the site available for sale or development (if known)? Please provide supporting evidence.	×	$\checkmark$	
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, covenants, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners?	✓		Owner of memorial fields and sports centre are separate
Is there a known time frame for availability? 0-5 /6-10 / 11-15 years.		<b>~</b>	

#### 4.0. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the site will give an indication of whether the site has any constraints to development. It should consider aspects such as infrastructure, planning policy, local services, heritage and other considerations.

			Please tick a box				
The site is appropriate for allocation							
This site has minor constraints							
The site has significant constraints							
The site is not appropriate for allocation		$\checkmark$					
Potential housing development capacity (15, 25,35 dph):	n/a						
Key evidence (3-4 bullet points) for decision to accept or discount site.	<ul> <li>The playing fields are already designated open space and therefore do not need allocation.</li> <li>The community centre infill proposal does not have particular significant constraints, however is dependent on the landowners response and appropriate design to</li> </ul>						

ensure the proposal is feasible.

# **Appendix B Land Ownership Information**

Site Name	Title Number	Area Name	Building Name	Address/Building	Street	Town	Postcode	Description	Owner	Correspondence address	Ultimate owner	Company Registration Number	Tenure	Premises type	Rateable Value (£)	Area (m2)	Area (ft2)	Plot of Land Area (ft2)	Plot of Land Area (acres)
Site 1 - Business			, nonte	in the second second				Factory And	CRANSWICK COUNTRY	74 Helsinki Road,									
Park West Site 1 - Business Park West Site 1 - Business	NK317215	WATTON	ABATTOIR	ABATTOIR	BRANDON ROAD	1	IP25 6LW	Premises	FOODS PLC	Hull HU7 0YW	CRANSWICK PLC	1803402	Freehold	Sui Generis	435,000	22,396	241,080	1,444,339	.33.16
Park West Site 1 - Business Park West	NK445192 NK245606	WATTON		1	BRANDON ROAD	1	IP25 6LN		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					34,814	0.8
Site 1 - Business	NK324797					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					19,034	0.44
Site 1 - Business Park West Site 1 - Business	NK458843	WATTON		125	BRANDON ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6LN		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					4,408	
Park West	NK324827	WATTON		125	BRANDON ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6LN		PRIVATE OWNER CRANSWICK				Freehold					2,200	0.05
Site 1 - Business Park West	NK317959					Thetford			COUNTRY FOODS PLC	74 Helsinki Road, Hull HU7 0YW	CRANSWICK PLC	1803402	Freehold					8,605	0.2
Site 1 - Business									EASTERN	231 Southwark Bridge Road,	EASTERN								
Site 1 - Business	NK347299					Thetford			NETWORKS PLC	London SE1 6NP		2366906	Freehold					224	0.01
Park West Site 1 - Business Park West	NK319422 NK470584	WATTON		131 123A	BRANDON ROAD		IP25 6LN		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					15,900	0.37
i un ivea				1207	DIGITIONE	, means	125 021		Content of the second									0,001	0.12
Site 2 - Retail Park			FROM UNIT 1, THETFORD ROAD BUSINESS PARK THETFORD ROAD 113M	POND 433M FROM UNIT 1, THETFORD ROAD BUSINESS PARK THETFORD ROAD. 113M FROM UNNAMED	THETEORD														
West Site 2 - Retail Park	NK15088		ROAD	ROAD	WATTON ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6QX		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					4,304,175	98.81
West Site 3 - Heron Way	NK387593					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					2,268,417	52.08
Open Space	NK333703					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					907,318	20.83
Site 3 - Heron Way			POND 39M FROM 15 MILL ROAD. 30M FROM MILL	POND 39M FROM 15 MILL ROAD.					BRECKLAND	Attleborough,	BRECKLAND								
Open Space	NK306304		ROAD	ROAD	HERON WAY	Thetford	IP25 6AN		COUNCIL	Norfalk	COUNCIL BRECKLAND		Freehold					118,143	2.71
Site 3 - Heron Way Open Space	NK336956					Thetford			DISTRICT	Norfalk	DISTRICT		Freehold					8,291	0.19
										Chief Executive's Department, County Hall,									
Site 3 - Heron Way			WAYLAND JUNIOR	WAYLAND JUNIOR				SCHOOL AND	THE NORFOLK COUNTY	Martineau Lane, Norwich, Norfolk	THE NORFOLK COUNTY								
	NK336120	WATTON	ACADEMY	ACADEMY	BRANDON ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6AL	PREMISES	COUNCIL	NR1 2DH	COUNCIL		Freehold	Public Properties	87.750			499.243	
Site 4 - Country	NK418063					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					5,795	0.13
Park North	NK421556	WATTON	LOCH BARN	LOCH BARN	LOCH LANE	Thetford	IP25 6HE		PRIVATE OWNER	Wayland Hall,			Freehold					14,986	0.34
Site 4 - Country Park North	NK196484					Thetford			WATTON TOWN COUNCIL	Middle Street, Watton, Norfolk IP25 6AG	WATTON TOWN COUNCIL		Freehold					220.707	5.07
Park North Site 4 - Country Park North	NK196484 NK373907	WATTON	LOCH FARM	LOCH FARM	LOCH LANE	Thetford	IP25 6HE		PRIVATE OWNER	20040			Freehold					220,787 75,454	1.73
Site 4 - Country Park North	NK200237					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					267,898	6.15
Site 4 - Country Park North	NK213665					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					1,192,137	27.37
Site 4 - Country			ELECTRICITY	ELECTRICITY					EASTERN POWER	231 Southwark Bridge Road,	EASTERN POWER								
	NK351635		SUBSTATION POND 145M FROM 4 DORRS DRIVE, 182M	POND 145M FROM 4 DORRS DRIVE, 182M	SAHAM ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6EA		NETWORKS PLC	London SE1 6NP	NETWORKS PLC	2366906	Freehold					20,718	0.48
Site 5 - Country Park East Site 5 - Country	NK264999		FROM DORRS DRIVE	FROM DORRS DRIVE	DORRS DRIVE	Thetford	IP25 6HB		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					2,100,665	.48.22
Site 5 - Country Park East Site 5 - Country	NK219572		TERRA DEL	TERRA DEL		Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					377,811	8.67
Park East Site 5 - Country	NK323342	WATTON	FUEGO	FUEGO, 3	DORRS DRIVE	Thetford	IP25 6HB		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					120,055	2.76
Park East Site 5 - Country Park East	NK280677 NK286113					Thetford Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					544,307 554,058	12.5
Site 5 - Country Park East Site 5 - Country	NK173688					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					263,929	6.06
Site 5 - Country Park East	NK217939					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					48,391	1.11
Site 6 - Norwich Road Open Space	NK446640					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					36,645	0.84
Site 6 - Norwich																			
Road Open Space	NK375289					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					13,511	0.31
Site 6 - Norwich Road Open Space Site 7 - Church	NK459130					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					97,094	2.23
Road Park Woodland	NK377030					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					527	0.01
Site 7 - Church Road Park Woodland	NK198928					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					285,416	6.55
										Chief Executive's Department, County Hall, Martineau Lane.	THE NORFOLK							200,410	0.00
Site 8 - Thetford Road 6th Form	NK431890					Thetford			COUNTY	Martineau Lane, Norwich, Norfolk NR1 2DH	COUNTY COUNCIL		Freehold					9,791	0.22
Site 8 - Thetford Road 6th Form	NK395476					Thetford			SUSTRANS	(Co. Regn. No. 1797726) of National Cycle Network Centre, 2 Cathedral Square, Bristol BS1 5DD	SUSTRANS	1797726	Freehold					1,345	0.03
Site 9 - Wayland Wood Extension 1	NK453328								NO OWNERSHIP										
Site 10 - Wayland		1	CRAB AND	CRAB AND	THETFORD														
Wood Extension 2	INK449616	1	POND 303M FROM 1 ABBEY	WINKLE POND 303M FROM 1 ABBEY	ROAD	I hetford	IP25 6PR	1	PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					223,139	5.12
Site 10 - Wayland Wood Extension 3	NK453326		ROAD. 327M FROM ABBEY	ROAD. 327M FROM ABBEY	ABBEY ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6PJ		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					*****	257.13
Site 10 - Wayland Wood Extension 4	NK453327					Thetford			PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					619,757	14.23
Site 11 - Portal Ave Open Space		WATTON			TRENCHARD CRESCENT	Thetford	IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER	1			Freehold					19,935	0.46
Site 11 - Portal Ave Open Space Site 11 - Portal		WATTON			TRENCHARD CRESCENT TRENCHARD	Thetford	IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					16,341	0.38
Ave Open Space		WATTON			CRESCENT	Thetford	IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					55,465	1.27
Ave Open Space Site 11 - Portal	NK356104	WATTON		5	CRESCENT	Thetford	IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					16,438	0.38
Ave Open Space Site 11 - Portal Ave Open Space		WATTON	DE HAVILLAND HALL	DE HAVILLAND HALL, 2	CRESCENT TRENCHARD CRESCENT	Thetford	IP25 6HR IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					22,932 40,538	0.53
Site 11 - Portal Ave Open Space		WATTON			CRESCENT	Thetford	IP25 6HR		PRIVATE OWNER				Freehold					36,593	0.84
Site 11 - Portal Ave Open Space			MAST	MAST	NORWICH ROAD		IP25 6GY		1	#2216 Poplar 2	THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE		Freehold					36,863	0.85
Site 11 - Portal			TEDDER CLOSE. 33M FROM ELWORTHY	33M FROM ELWORTHY	ELWORTHY					Hallmark Bidg, Lakenheath,									
Ave Open Space	INK373443		CLOSE	CLOSE	CLOSE	Thetford	IP25 6HU		C H AND F I	Suffolk, EC1A 2D's Wayland Croft, Watton Green	C H AND E I		Freehold					1,248,840	28.67
Site 13 - Business Park East	NK195964					Thetford			BAMBRIDGE AND SONS LIMITED	Watton, Thetford	BAMBRIDGE AND SONS LIMITED	4230379	Freehold					91,625	
Site 12 - Business Park East	NK101586					Thetford			ANGLIAN WATER SERVICES LIMITED	Ermine Business Park, Huntingdon PE29 6XU Neaton Business	ANGLIAN WATER GROUP LIMITED	2366656	Freehold					9,576	0.22
Site 12 . S								PHOTOVOLTAIC	ABEL	Park (North), Norwich Road,	ABEL								
	NK137956		SOLAR FARM	SOLAR FARM	NORWICH ROAD	Thetford	IP25 6JB	INSTALLATION	C H AND E I	Watton, Thetford, Norfolk IP25 6JB Wayland Croft, Watton Green,	C H AND E I		Freehold	Sui Generis	1,450			338,366	7.77
	NK445540	WATTON	ROKELES HALL	ROKELES HALL	WATTON GREEN	Thetford	IP25 6RA	Community Com	BAMBRIDGE AND SONS LIMITED WATTON TOWN	Watton, Thetford	BAMBRIDGE AND SONS LIMITED WATTON TOWN	4230379	Freehold					693,568	15.92
Site 12 - Business Park East	11111110040							roommunity Centre											
Site 12 - Business Park East Site 13 - Community Centre Site 14 -		WATTON	ADVICE BUREAU	ADVICE BUREAU WEST SITE OF	HARVEY STREET	Thetford	IP25 6EB	And Premises SPORTS AND	COUNCIL WATTON TOWN	Middle Street	COUNCIL		Freehold	Public Properties	7,300	312	3,364	79,975	1.84

AECOM Aldgate Tower 2 Leman Street LONDON E1 8FA

Tel: 020 7061 7000

www.aecom.com @AECOM